## SINO-PLATONIC PAPERS

Number 257

May, 2015

# The Terminology for Carpets in Ancient Central Asia

by ZHANG He

Victor H. Mair, Editor
Sino-Platonic Papers

Department of East Asian Languages and Civilizations
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6305 USA
vmair@sas.upenn.edu
www.sino-platonic.org

### SINO-PLATONIC PAPERS

#### FOUNDED 1986

Editor-in-Chief VICTOR H. MAIR

Associate Editors
PAULA ROBERTS MARK SWOFFORD

**ISSN** 

2157-9679 (print)

2157-9687 (online)

SINO-PLATONIC PAPERS is an occasional series dedicated to making available to specialists and the interested public the results of research that, because of its unconventional or controversial nature, might otherwise go unpublished. The editor-in-chief actively encourages younger, not yet well established, scholars and independent authors to submit manuscripts for consideration. Contributions in any of the major scholarly languages of the world, including romanized modern standard Mandarin (MSM) and Japanese, are acceptable. In special circumstances, papers written in one of the Sinitic topolects (*fangyan*) may be considered for publication.

Although the chief focus of *Sino-Platonic Papers* is on the intercultural relations of China with other peoples, challenging and creative studies on a wide variety of philological subjects will be entertained. This series is **not** the place for safe, sober, and stodgy presentations. *Sino- Platonic Papers* prefers lively work that, while taking reasonable risks to advance the field, capitalizes on brilliant new insights into the development of civilization.

Submissions are regularly sent out to be refereed, and extensive editorial suggestions for revision may be offered.

Sino-Platonic Papers emphasizes substance over form. We do, however, strongly recommend that prospective authors consult our style guidelines at www.sino-platonic.org/stylesheet.doc. Manuscripts should be submitted as electronic files, preferably in Microsoft Word format. You may wish to use our sample document template, available here: www.sino-platonic.org/spp.dot.

Beginning with issue no. 171, *Sino-Platonic Papers* has been published electronically on the Web at www.sino-platonic.org. Issues 1–170, however, will continue to be sold as paper copies until our stock runs out, after which they too will be made available on the Web.

Please note: When the editor goes on an expedition or research trip, all operations (including filling orders) may temporarily cease for up to three months at a time. In such circumstances, those who wish to purchase various issues of *SPP* are requested to wait patiently until he returns. If issues are urgently needed while the editor is away, they may be requested through Interlibrary Loan. You should also check our Web site at www.sino-platonic.org, as back issues are regularly rereleased for free as PDF editions.

Sino-Platonic Papers is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.5 License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 543 Howard Street, 5th Floor, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

#### The Terminology for Carpets in Ancient Central Asia

#### **ZHANG He**

#### William Paterson University

This study seeks to gather and clarify the terminology for carpets used by peoples of Central Asia from about 300 BCE to 1000 CE time, including terms in Kharoṣṭhi, Khotanese, Sanskrit and its relatives, plus Persian, Sogdian, Chinese, and Turkic.

#### Terms Used in the Khotan Area

Thanks to the studies and publications of T. Burrow and H. W. Bailey, we have access to increased information about the peoples who lived in the Tarim Basin over 1500 years ago. To learn about the carpets these people made, I have looked into two major sources: Burrow's translation (1940) of about 760 Kharoṣṭhi documents found in Niya and the surrounding area by Aurel Stein, and Bailey's monumental work, the *Dictionary of Khotan Saka* (1979).

Both Kharoṣṭhi and Khotan-Saka (or Khotanese) scripts were used in Khotan and the southern Tarim Basin. The Kharoṣṭhi documents from Niya represent Prakrit, a Middle Indo-Aryan language and close relative of Sanskrit, and are dated from the third to fourth centuries CE.¹ Khotanese is a Middle Iranian language spoken and written in the Tarim Basin from about the fourth century CE to the early eleventh century CE.

In the approximately 760 Kharoṣṭhi documents, two major words for 'carpet' are found: 'kojava' and 'tavastaga.' Burrow translates the former word as 'rug,' and the latter as 'carpet.' There are also two variations: kosava and thavastae. In the index of his 1937 publication on the language of Kharoshthi, Burrow lists several related words as shown here:

<sup>1</sup> Burrow provided the fixed date of 269 CE with a range of eighty years around it. John Brough (1965) later established a date of 235 to 325 CE for the documents.

<sup>2</sup> I'd would like to thank Swati Venkart at Ambedkar University Delhi for calling my attention to Burrow's 1937 Index.

*Niya Kharoshthi (third–fourth century CE):* 

- *kojava* = Pali *kojava* 'a rug or cover with long hair, a fleecy counter-pane'. Both words may be connected with *kaucapaka*, which is enumerated among the different kinds of rugs (*kambala*) at Arth Śāstra II I I 100 [Burrow 1937, p. 84].<sup>3</sup>
- *kośava* Cf. *kojava* [ibid., p. 85]
- tāvastaģa = 'carpet' (Prof. Thomas compares Gk τάπης, a loan-word from Persian, and N Pers.
   tāftan, tābam). From the same base is thavamnaģa (see s.v.) Arm LW tapest and tapastaka
   'mat', N Pers. tabastah = 'fringed carpet' [ibid., p. 94]
- *thavamnaga* (*thavamnae*, *thavamna-mae*, also *tavanaga*) = Saka *thauna* 'cloth' (BSOS vii, 512) Cf. also for the form N. Pers. *tafnah* 'web' [ibid., p. 96–97].

In the documents, each of the two words appears at least thirteen times. In three of the documents, the two terms appear together, so we know they mean two different kinds of textiles. In three other documents, the word *kojava* is modified with *Khotani*, as '*Khotani kojava*', which likely means 'Khotan-made *kojava*.' In about half of the *tavastaga* occurrences, a measurement of the piece is given in such terms as '13-hand' (equivalent to a length of about 2 meters, the length of a regular bed)<sup>4</sup>; there are also 12-, 11-, 8-, 6-, and 4-hand *tavastaga*, whereas there are no measurements given for *kojava*.<sup>5</sup> In three places, *kojava* is also spelled *kosava*.

Because of the large quantity of Khotanese documents discovered (2,300 text pieces in Dunhuang alone), no complete translation is available for all of the tablets and manuscripts. So I consulted H. W. Bailey's *Dictionary of Khotan Saka*. Bailey here does not use the English words 'carpet' and 'rug.' Instead, he uses 'covering, cloth covering, woven cloth,' etc. for the words that may refer to carpets. The words listed below are, I think, those that are close to the meaning 'rug' or 'carpet,' and

<sup>3</sup> All the references given on this list are Burrow's original citations.

<sup>4</sup> This is my interpretation. Tailors and women who knitted or made garments for family still commonly used hand-span for measurement in the 1960s and 1970s in Khotan, when I lived there.

<sup>5</sup> In Document 583, however, there occurs the term 'kajaha vamnaga' to which is attributed the measurement of 'two hands.' I cannot say if 'kajaha' is a variation of kojava, but its small size seems to preclude its being a carpet. It appears side by side with a tavastaga of four hands, which itself is quite a small carpet, but big enough for a chair or throne.

those that share the same roots. I have modified the dictionary entries cited to make them simple and consistent.

*Khotanese* (fourth–eleventh century CE):

- *gahaā* 'covering' (from *gah* 'to cover')
- gahāvara 'covering'; gahāvara bema 'woven stuff for covering'
- *-caiha-* 'piece of cloth'; base *cai-* 'to cover'
- cauṣka- or khauska 'covering'; base kai- 'to cover'. karasta- 'fur garment'; (kambala=blanket? kabalīja=blanket cloth)<sup>6</sup> suffix -asta- as Zor.P. tapast 'carpet' from base tap- 'to twist, spin' Pašto krasta 'felt, woolen cloth'. Base IE Pok (?). kēr 'to cut'
- kamaiśkä, kaimeja 'covering'
- *kaṃjita-* 'wrinkled, rugged'
- *kāṃmadä* 'trousers'; *kabalīja* blanket cloth; Kroraina: *kamaṃte*
- *gaihe* 'he twist, spins' (Bailey compared it to: Buddhist Sanskrit *karattī* 'he spins'; Vedic *kṛṇattī*, base *kart-* 'to twist, spin'; Waxi *zip-*, *zup-:zovd* 'to spin,' *zitre* 'thread'; Oss. D. *zelun*, I. *zilyn*' possibly with Old Indian *hel-* 'turn'; etc.)
- *ggeiśś-, ggeils-* 'to turn, to make turn'
- gvah- 'to weave, spin'
- thauna- 'cloth, silk'. (Bailey compared it to: Kroraina lw [loan word] thavaṃne, thavaṃnaǵa,
   Kuci-Sanskrit thavana; Ossetic Digoron tunä, Ossetic Iron tyn, Uigur Turk. lw ton. From base tap- 'to twist,' Zor.P. tapast, tapastak 'carpet,' N.Pers. tapast, tāftan, Armen. lw tapastak, Greek τάπης 'carpet')
- *thauracaihä* 'woven covering cloth(?)'; base *tap* 'to twist'; base *kai* 'to cover'
- *painajä* 'covering'; possibly base *kan* 'to cover'
- *pema-'wool'*
- peṃabara 'covering'
- pveca, pvaica 'covering'
- phaurthaka 'cloth'

 $<sup>6\,\</sup>textit{Kambala} \text{ and } \textit{kabalija} \text{ are Sanskrit terms used in the Kautilya's Arthashastra and other Buddhist and Jain texts.}$ 

• *biye* 'weaver'(?)

• bauñā 'woven stuff'

• baudāha 'woven stuff'

The first several items in the list belong to the same group, as they are rooted in either *gah* or *kai*, and mean 'to cover.' The next few (*gaiha*, *ggeiśś-*, *gvah*) seem to share the root *kart-* for 'twist, spin, turn.' The two words starting with 't' belong together with the base *tap-* 'to twist.' And the rest are either 'p' or 'b' words. It was a delightful discovery for me that the 'g, k' and 't' words happen to fall into two groups that correspond to the two words in Kharoṣṭhi. See below:

• Kharoṣṭhi: kojava; tavastaga

• Khotanese: *gahāvara*; *thauracaihä* 

Obviously, they derive from the same two words. The parallels suggesting that at least the two Khotanese words are the right candidates for 'carpet' look sufficiently convincing when they are identified only as coverings. It must be pointed out, however, that, on the list, *thauna*, although its several equivalents in other languages mean 'carpet,' is defined by Bailey as 'cloth' and 'silk'; and according to the study by Duan Qing (2013), *thauna* was used as a measure word for a bolt of silk, and another word, *thaunaka*, was a standard-sized expensive silk product. Both authors connected *thauna* to silk, and we certainly need to be very careful when using the related word *thauracaihä* for carpet. However, Bailey also interprets *thauna* as 'cloth,' which implies different materials, and Burrow seems to concur with this. Because the parallels of *thauracaihä* in Kharoshthi and a few Persian languages are interpreted as 'carpet,' I tend to consider this as a carpet of probably wool as well as a cover with a silk surface.

With regard to the words on the list starting with 'p' and 'b,' I think they are related to a certain group of Persian and Sogdian words, such as *fraspāt*, *faspā*, *bûp*, *parštarn*, and *-bisāt* etc., which are listed below.

7 I use 'carpet' throughout the text for the general sense, since it can be understood as meaning a flat or knotted floor covering or wall hanging, unless I specify it as flat or knotted.

4

#### Terms Used in Other Places in Xinjiang and Central Asia

A few other languages were used earlier than, and contemporary with, Kharoṣṭhi and Khotanese in the Western Region and Central Asia. Listed below are related words from these: Sanskrit/Pali/Prakrit, Avestan (Old Persian), Pahlavi (Middle Persian), Sogdian, and Persian (or New Persian).

Pali, Prakrit, Sanskrit in Arthashastra, and Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit (300 BCE-700 CE)

- *kojava* 'a rug or cover with long hair, a fleecy counter-pane' Vin I.281; DhA I.177; III.197 (pavara); Davs v. 36 often in expl. of gonaka (q.v.) as digha-lomaka maha-kojava DA I.86; PvA 157.<sup>8</sup> [*Pali–English Dictionary* by T. W. Rhys Davids and William Stede]
- kocava, kocavaka (F. Edgerton: Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit, vol. II Dictionary)
- *kaukapaka* 'blanket' (Sanskrit in Arthashastra II, 11, 98) [Scharfe 1968, 1993]
- paristoma 'blanket' (Arthashastra) II 11, 98; Sanskrit (PW) Mahabharata, Ramayana; Loan word from Greek περιστρώμα (Mayrhofer, EWA). [ibid.]

(The following is from R. L. Turner's A Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages 1999 [1966]).

- *āstara* 'covering, cushion, bed' Kathās. Pa. *atthara* 'rug (for horses, elephants, etc.)', °*aka*<-> 'covering', °*ikā* 'layer'; Pk. *attharaya* 'covering', 'outer garment'; [1505<sup>10</sup> p. 68]
- upastára 'anything laid under' AV. [Cf. upastír- 'cover' RV.: √str¹] Pa. upatthara 'cover, rug';
   [2268 p. 106]
- úpastṛṇāti 'spreads'; 'spreads over, scatters under' RV., upāstarati VarBṛS.; NiDoc.
   vastaraṁnena inst. 'mat(?)'; Pk. uvatthaḍa 'covered'; upastáraṇa 'cover' RV.,
   'undermattress' ĀśvGṛ. [2269 p. 106]

<sup>8</sup> All the references through this list are original. Interested readers should consult the original sources.

<sup>9</sup> The *Dictionary* contains many Indo-Aryan languages. I have kept only Rigveda (RV) Sanskrit, Avestan (AV), Pali (Pa), Prakrit (Pk), and Niya documents (NiDoc). I also eliminated the gender and parts of speech of the words. The reference sources are original.

<sup>10</sup> Original entry number in the *Dictionary*. 11  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  = root.

- *kambalá* 'woollen blanket or upper garment' AV., Pa. *kambala* —, °*līya* 'woollen stuff, garment or blanket'; Pk. *kambala* 'woollen blanket' [2771 p. 139]
- kōjava 'fleecy cloth'. Pa. kōjava 'rug or cover with long hair, fleecy counterpane'; Pk. kōyavaya 'coverlet made of cloth stuffed with cotton—wool'; Addenda: kōjava —, cf. kaucapaka 'kind of rug' Arthaś. [3490 p. 181]
- $g\bar{o}n\bar{i}$  'sack', Pa.  $g\bar{o}na$  samthata 'covered with a woollen rug',  $g\bar{o}naka$  'woollen rug with a long fleece'; NiDoc. goni 'sack'; [4275 p. 229]

(The following is from Kumar 2008, p. 58.)

- *Chilamika* a kind of carpet
- *Chitaka* a carpet made with cloth pieces of many colors to the bedding
- *Palika* white woolen carpet
- *Patalika* a carpet with densely embroidered flowers
- *Uddalmi* a blanket with hair on both the sides
- *Kaseyya* silken carpet
- *Kutaka* a carpet on which sixteen female dancers could dance simultaneously
- *Kojava* a blanket with long hair

There is, besides, also a long list of woolen blankets recorded in Sanskrit in *Kautilya's Arthashastra*, a book of fourth-century BCE – third-century CE, within which a few are translated as bedspreads, floor covering, and even 'knotted in piles.' But, unfortunately, different translators of the book give different interpretations to the words, and not all give the original words to match. In this situation, I quote only R. Shamasatry's (1915) translation, which keeps the original terms.

Blanket made of sheep's wool may be white, purely red, or as red as a lotus flower. They may be made of worsted threads by sewing (*khachita*); or may be woven of woolen threads of various color (*vanachitra*); or may be made of different pieces (*khandasanghatya*); or may be woven of uniform woolen threads (*tantuvichchhinna*).

-

<sup>12</sup> See Rangarajan 1992, p.732.

Woolen blankets are (of ten kinds): — Kambala, Kauchapaka, Kulamitika, Saumitika, Turagastarana, Varnaka, Talichchhaka, Varavana, Paristoma, and Samantabhadraka.

Of the terms in this quotation, *kambala* and *kauchapaka* are connected to Kharoshthi and Pali *kojava* by Burrow; and Bailey also compared *kambala* with Khotanese *karasta*. I would like to point out two other terms *paristoma* and *tantuvichchhinna* for attention. I think *paristoma* shares with Sogdian *prštrn/parštar* listed below, and *tantuvichchhinna* may be related to most 't' or 'th' words in Kharoshthi, Khotanese, and several Persian groups.

Avestan (Old Persian c. 500–300 BCE)

- *fraspāt* 'cushion' (Bailey 1979, p. 185)
- *fraspāt; upastərəna-* 'spread, rug ' (Henning 1948, pp. 314–315, under Sogdian *fsp* and *faspā*).
- *tan* 'to pull, stretch' (Nourai)
- *tauruna* 'stretched, tender' (ibid., p. 471)
- *gaud* 'to hide' (ibid., p. 163)
- *â-gaud* 'cover' (ibid., p. 163)

Pahlavi (Middle Persian c. 300 BCE-800 CE)

- $b\hat{u}p$  'a rich carpet' (West 1880, p. 271)
- *tadak* 'woven cloth' (ibid.)
- *tapast, tapastak* 'carpet' (Bailey, p. 185; Nourai)

*Sogdian (c. 4th–10th centuries CE)* 

- fsp 'rug'; faspā 'rugs'; from Av. fraspāt-; upastərəna- 'spread, rug ' (Henning, pp. 314–315)
- $\bar{a}$ -gaud, 'g'wd, cover; 'g'wnd: 'to cover' (Nourai, p. 163)

(The terms below are from Gharib's *Sogdian Dictionary*.)

- $\bar{a}$ -gaud 'cover, covering' (entry number 78)
- $\bar{a}$ -gunt, gaud '(to) cover, (to) dress' (78)
- *abi-gauda* '(a sort of) covering' (2600)

- *gaudana* 'covering' (4367)
- pati-gaud 'covering' (7665)
- anspāk, 'nšp'kh, fsp' 'carpet, rug' (1098)
- *'nšp'kh* 'equipment, rug' (1120)
- $fsp'/f(a)sp\bar{a}$  'rafter, rug, wall' (3988)
- *prštrn/parštarn* 'rug, carpet' (7230)

#### New Persian (since c. 800 CE)

- *farasp* 'carpet' (Bailey, p. 185)
- farš 'carpet' (Encyclopedia Iranica: CARPET; Steingass)
- tapast, tāftan, tabidan 'carpet' (Bailey, p. 185)

(The terms below are from Steingass's Persian–English Dictionary.)

- -bisāṭ 'anything spread out; carpet, bedding, etc.'
- $b\bar{u}b$  (equiv. to yūb) 'a rich carpet'
- parda-galīm 'a kind of carpet or rug used by cheats and jugglers'
- palās 'coarse woolen cloth worn by dervīshes; a woolen carpet'
- *tabsa* 'tapestry, a carpet'
- tambasa 'a carpet'
- tanfasat, tanfasat, tanfisat, tanfusat, tin-fasat, tinfisat, tunfusat 'a carpet or rug with a shaggy pil.'
- *tānīdan* 'to twist, weave, spin, to be twisted'
- *tanīda* 'woven; a cobweb; a weaver's instrument'
- *jājim*, *jājīm* 'a fine bedding or carpet'
- -jill 'a carpet'
- *khālī* 'a large carpet'
- *ghālī* 'a carpet, tapestry'
- qālī 'a costly kind of carpet'
- *qālīn* 'a costly carpet'

- *gilīm* 'a garment made of goat hair or wool; a carpet or rug to lie down upon; a blanket'
- -kilim 'a carpet'
- $z\bar{\imath}l\bar{u}$ ,  $zail\bar{u}$  'a kind of woolen blanket worn by the poor'
- zelūcha 'a small woolen garment or carpet'
   Several observations can be made regarding these lists.

First, the terms *kojava* in Pali, *kocava* and *kokavaka* in Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit, and *kaukapaka* in Arthashastra Sanskrit, are the same words, or share the same root, with Kharoṣṭhi *kojava* and Khotanese *gahāvara*.

Second, the terms  $\bar{a}stara$  and  $upast\'{a}ra$ , etc., in Sanskrit seem to share the same component '-astar-' with many other words, such as atthara, upatthara (Pa), attharava, uvatthada (Pk),  $frasp\bar{a}t$ ,  $fasp\bar{a}$ ,  $par\check{s}tarn$ , tapast, tapastak, upastara (Pers),  $par\check{s}tarn$ , upastaran- (Sog), vastaramnena, tavastaga, thavamnaga (Khar), and vastaga, vas

Third, except for most of the New Persian words, all the others can be put into four or five groups with the words starting with letters: 'g, k/c,' 't,' 'f,' 'b, p,' and 'a, u.' (See the Carpet Terminology Chart, below.)

Fourth, the term  $frasp\bar{a}t$  in Avestan, fsp or  $fasp\bar{a}$  in Sogdian, farasp or  $far\check{s}$  in Persian, seems to be one of the oldest and most continuously used. It does not seem to be used in Khotan, however, unless those 'p, b' words on the Khotanese list could be considered cognates with 'f' words (I am actually thinking of the words 'Farsi' and 'Parsi.' However, I will leave this problem to specialists.). The term does not seem to be known by the Chinese, for there is no close transcription recorded.

Fifth, the terms *gaud*, *â-gaud* in Avestan, *gaudana*, *abi-gauda/pati-gaud*, and the like, in Sogdian, seem also to share the same root with Kharoṣṭhi *kojava* and Khotanese *gahāvara*.

Sixth, the terms tan in Avestan, tadak, tapast, and tapestak in Sasanian Pahlavi, tapast,  $t\bar{a}ftan$ , tambasa,  $t\bar{a}n\bar{t}dan$ , and tabidan etc. in Persian, are also the oldest and most continuously used ones, and match the Arthashastra Sanskrit tantuvichchhinna, the Kharoṣṭhi tavastaga, Khotanese  $thauracaih\ddot{a}$ , and Chinese  $t\check{a}n$  毯,  $t\grave{a}d\bar{e}ng$  氉色 and  $t\grave{a}b\grave{i}$  氉壁.

Seventh, the terms in Steingass's Persian–English Dictionary, such as <u>kḥālī</u>, <u>ghālī</u>, and gilīm/kilim etc., seem to be newer generics in later times. I will discuss *gilīm* and *kilim* a little later.

#### Terms Used by the Chinese and Their Foreign Origins

Between the Warring States (475–221 BCE) and the Tang dynasty (618–907 CE), there are several terms for carpets recorded:  $zh\bar{\imath}pi$  织皮, ji 罽, 罽, 罽,  $tad\bar{\imath}eng$  氉飪, tabi 氉壁,  $q\bar{\imath}ush\bar{\imath}u$  or  $quyu^{i3}$  氍毹,  $q\bar{\imath}ulu$  氍氀, and tan 茭, 綫, 毯. Most are believed to be foreign products from the west. For example, ji is interpreted as: ji 罽: 'woolen cloth from the western foreigners', in *Analytical Dictionary of Characters* (100–121 CE)<sup>14</sup>.

Among these terms,  $zh\bar{\imath}p\acute{\iota}$  is one of the earliest to refer to a woolen covering, literally meaning 'woven skin' or 'woven covering,' so it does not seem to represent a foreign sound. Ji also appears very early in such records, and it is the most popular term used throughout Chinese literature from the third century BCE¹⁵ to the early twentieth century of the common era. Related to ji 闌, there are  $jir\dot{\imath}$  罽  $\ref{i}$   $\ref{i}$   $\ref{i}$   $\ref{jizhang}$  罽帐 , and jibi 罽壁 , in which the second word is used solely to indicate function in the Chinese way of expression, such as mattress  $(r\dot{\imath}\iota)$ , tent or yurt  $(zh\dot{\imath}ng)$ , and wall hanging (bi). Besides  $zh\bar{\imath}p\acute{\iota}$  and ji, other terms like  $t\dot{\imath}ad\bar{e}ng$ ,  $q\bar{\imath}sh\bar{\imath}a$ , and  $t\check{\imath}a$  are all recorded as early as in Analytical Dictionary of Characters.  $\ref{Qushu}$  and  $q\bar{\imath}t\dot{\imath}a$  derive from the same words, but  $q\bar{\imath}sh\bar{\imath}a$  is more commonly used. One of the characters for  $t\check{\imath}a$   $\ref{W}$  would have been in common use at least by the early fifth century, as shown in Chinese documents discovered in Turfan, $\ref{i}$ 7 not, as some scholars thought, as late as Tang or even Song dynasties.  $\ref{i}$ 8 It is still a common word for a carpet or a thick covering such as a woolen blanket today. Below I will show that, except for  $zh\bar{\imath}p\acute{\imath}$   $\ref{i}$ 9, all the other terms are indeed foreign transcriptions with their sources long existing in the Western Region.

<sup>13</sup> The word has a double pronunciation: shū and yú. Different sources give different pronunciations. In Cantonese it sounds like jyu. I will use shū as the common pronunciation.

<sup>14 《</sup>说文解字》(Analytical Dictionary of Characters) 系部: 綱: 西胡毳布也。(Jì, woolen cloth from the western foreigners.)

<sup>15 《</sup>尔雅》Éryǎ (221-9 BCE), the first comprehensive dictionary in China. 釋言: 氂, 罽也。

<sup>16 《</sup>说文解字》(Analytical Dictionary of Characters) 毛部: 氍: 氍毹、毾凳,皆氊菼(緂)之属,盖方言也。 从毛瞿声。毹: 氍毹也。从毛俞声。

<sup>17</sup> In three documents related to loans and taxes that were found in Astana M1 Turfan, 毯 is used. One of these citations gives a date of 418 CE. See Qian Boquan 2001, p. 30.

<sup>18</sup> Jia, Li, and Zhang (2009) give a date of Tang; Bidder (1964/1979) a date of the Song dynasty.

In 1919, in the chapter "Persian Textiles" of his book *Sino-Iranica: Chinese Contributions to the History of Civilization in Ancient Iran*, Berthold Laufer dealt with some Chinese terminology for imported textiles. Among these terms, two are relevant here: tadeng 氉鈍 and taba 楊壁. Laufer refers to the first term as 'woolen rugs,' and the second term as 'dance rugs,' though from the Chinese words we can tell neither the types of rugs they refer to, such as knotted-pile carpets or flat-weaving carpets, nor their exact functions, except that the second word ba 壁 from taba means 'wall.' Laufer thought that tadeng represented a transcription of a Middle Persian word connected to the root 'tap,' which means 'to spin,' as in the Persian word taftan 'to twist, to spin.' His interpretation of the second term, taba, is that it came from a transcription of two Middle Persian forms,  $tabi\chi$  and  $tabe\delta$ , both with the root 'tap.'

Since  $t\grave{a}d\bar{e}ng$  appears in the Analytical Dictionary of Characters in the second century CE, in terms of time, it does fit the Middle Persian terms. For  $t\grave{a}b\grave{i}$ , I think Laufer made a reasonable connection to  $t\bar{a}b\dot{i}\chi$  and  $t\bar{a}be\delta$ . Besides these two, there is also tapast in Pahlavi. However, Tang dynasty monk Xuan Ying explains the term in this way: ' $t\grave{a}b\grave{i}$ , a woolen covering, used on the walls, so it got its name" — which makes  $b\grave{i}$  壁 'wall.' But Laufer also lists the other Chinese words for  $t\grave{a}b\grave{i}$  as 拓壁, 拓辟, and 拓心, in which only the pronunciation of the words matters, not their Chinese meaning. So it seems that Laufer might be right after all.

Laufer also discussed 檀  $t\acute{a}n$ , which I think he mistakenly used as the word for 氊 $^{20}$  ( $d\check{a}n$ ,  $t\check{a}n$ , or  $zh\bar{a}n$ ) or 毯  $t\check{a}n$ . He made a connection of tan to the Persian root tan, Avestan tanva, and Middle-Persian tanand, etc.  $T\check{a}n$  cannot be found in Oracle-Bone inscriptions and Pre-Qin literature (before 221 BCE). Instead, the word tan and related words in several Persian languages seem perfect analogs for  $t\check{a}n$  5, 5, 5. So I think Laufer was right to make these connections. The terms t0 and t0 and t1 have been known as foreign words since before the Common Era. For the former, one modern

<sup>19</sup>玄应 b. ? – d. 649–661: 《一切经音义》(The Sound and Meaning of the Tripitaka): 毾壁: 他盍反毛席也施之於壁因以名焉經文作關非體也。http://taipei.ddbc.edu.tw/sutra/Co56nu63\_0o3.php accessed on June 20, 2014.

<sup>20</sup> 檀 tán, always refers to a kind of wood, specifically sandalwood, in Chinese literature. It is also used as a person's last name in Pre-Qin documents, such as Liji (Book of Rites). It does not appear anywhere as a textile unless it is wrongly used for 氊 or 毯. 氊 is explained in the Analytical Dictionary of Characters as being pronounced dŏn meaning felt. It is also pronounced as zhān for felt.

Chinese author once mentioned that ji was a transcription of the Persian word ' $gilim'^{21}$ '; and a few other authors wondered if the term jiliyamu 吉里雅木 (reversed transcription of kilim), used for carpets in Xinjiang as recorded in the Qing dynasty Annals of Western Region (《西域图志-服物》 1782), might be a transcription for  $q\bar{u}y\acute{u}^{22}$ , based on the close similarity of their sounds. These authors' suggestions make sense, although their use of modern vocabularies to match the terms of several hundred years earlier is very problematic, unless there is evidence of a continuous connection between the times.

Since both sources mention 'gilim/kilim,' however casually, I will examine the idea, if only to clear it out of the way.

In Arthur Pope's chapter "Carpet Making — A History" in the multi-volume work *A Survey of Persian Art* he edited, he quoted several carpet terms from a tenth-century Persian geographical text *Hudud al-'Alam* (The Regions of the World; 982 CE) translated into English by V. Minorsky, where we see *bisāṭ, farsh, palas, gilīmīna/gilīm, zīlū* (pp. 2277–2279), which are also on the New Persian list, and *farsh*, also on the Old Persian list, shown earlier. Except for *farsh*, the terms in this Persian text, seem to appear for the first time in the tenth century. Although one scholar has tried to trace the origin of the term *kilim* back to the Turkic language, her date of the earliest appearance of it is found later than the Persian term *gilim*.<sup>24</sup>

So, as Chinese ji and  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$  were already used as early as between 221 BCE and 121 CE, they cannot be transcribed directly from  $gil\bar{u}m$  or kilim.

Instead, I think they are derived from either Old or Middle Persian. To see this, we need first to understand that  $j\hat{\imath}$  could be pronounced as ' $ga\hat{\imath}$ ' in ancient Chinese, as it is still pronounced in some southern topolects, such as Cantonese, and  $q\bar{u}$  could be pronounced 'ku.' The words gaud and  $\hat{a}$ -gaud (cover) in Avestan Persian that continue in Sogdian gaudana and Khotanese  $gah\bar{a}vara$  could be the

12

<sup>21</sup> Shen Fuwei 沈福伟 2006, p. 57.

<sup>22</sup> Another pronunciation for qūshū.

<sup>23</sup> Jia Yingyi, Li Wenying, Zhang Hengde 贾应逸、李文瑛、张亨德 2009, p. 24.

<sup>24</sup> Rasonyi 1971 and Acar 1983.

right candidates for the Chinese equivalents. These 'g' words all share the same root '*gah*' or '*cai*, *kai*' (to cover), which exactly fits *jì* or *gai*.

The same 'gah, gau' or 'cai, kai' or kojava and gahāvara could be the origin for the Chinese term qūshū as well. In a couple of his publications (e.g., Notes on Marco Polo, 1959 p. 492), Paul Pelliot suggested that qūshū might be a transcription of a Sanskrit word kaucapa, which might also be the origin of Kharoshithi kojava or kosava. German ambassador, writer, and collector Hans Bidder, in his book on Khotan carpets,25 however, mentions a Chinese source (Pao-yen Tang Pi-chi Kuang-chi 宝颜 堂秘笈-广集) that explains qūshū as the name of a country, and he seems to agree with this by matching it to Chu-so ta-na (瞿萨旦那), or Kustana, an ancient name for Khotan. Since the original Chinese source is late in time (about the sixteenth century) and both the Chinese and Bidder's interpretations are speculative, I prefer Pelliot's and my own kojava-kaucapa-qūshū interpretations. Modern Chinese author Chen Zhutong once quoted from a Japanese source that made a connection between qūshū and the Arabic word 'ghashyat,' and several Chinese scholars followed his interpretation. It seems to me that this supposed Arabic connection cannot be right either. The term  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$  appeared as early as in the Analytical Dictionary of Characters, between 100 and 121 CE, and the Annals of the Former Han (《前汉纪》) in 200 CE, a time for which there is no record of any contact between Arabs and Chinese. Dàshí 大食 (Arabs) does not appear in Chinese records until the Tang dynasty in Tōng Diǎn (《通典》801 CE).

Besides, in some Chinese documents,  $j\hat{\iota}$  was sometimes interpreted as  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$ . In other words,  $j\hat{\iota}$  is  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$ . For example, an early seventh-century source quoted by the Kangxi Dictionary says: " $j\hat{\iota}$ , woven wool, a kind of  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$ ." In some texts  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$  was also transcribed as  $q\bar{u}l\dot{u}$ . In a Chinese translation of the Buddhist sutra Majjhima Nikaya (398 CE), for example, there are descriptions of some luxurious places covered with  $q\bar{u}l\dot{u}$  and  $tad\bar{e}ng$ . Since the original Buddhist text was very likely

<sup>25</sup> Bidder 1964.

<sup>26 《</sup>康熙字典》:《疏》罽者,織毛爲之,若今之毛氍毹也。《註》師古曰: 罽,織毛也。氍毹之屬。

<sup>27《</sup>中阿含經》卷第十四 東晉罽賓三藏瞿曇(317-420)僧伽提婆譯: 彼八萬四千夫人及女寶還去不久,大善見王即共侍者還昇大殿,則入金樓,坐銀御床,敷以氍氀、毾氃, 覆以錦綺羅縠,有襯體被,兩頭安枕, ...... 中華电子佛典協会 Chinese Buddhist Electronic Text Association (CEBTA)http://tripitaka.cbeta.org/mobile/index.php?index=Toinoo26 014 accessed on July 22, 2014

written in Sanskrit, it should not be far from the Prakrit  $ko\underline{j}ava$ . To me it appears that,  $j\hat{i}$  and  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}/q\bar{u}l\hat{u}$  are two different transcriptions of the same original.

#### About Kèsī and Gilim

I am almost certain that another Chinese textile term, *kè* 缂, although not used to mean 'carpet,' also comes from the same root word '*gah*, *gau*' or '*cai*, *kai*.' Since it is a typical *gilim/kilim* in technique, a type of weaving that is also used for one kind of carpet, I would like to discuss it here. So far, no one has made a connection between this term and any foreign word.

*Kè* 绰, in Chinese interpretation, is a weaving technique that is done with 'continuous warps and stopped wefts' ('通经断纬'), which in English is simply called 'slit tapestry.' As the Chinese description has it, kè is a kind of weaving used for a colored pattern design in which a colored weft tread turns back and forth at the edge of the same colored area, leaving a small space (slit) between two different colored areas. The slits look like sharp cuts, so the Chinese called the technique and resulting textile kèsī 刻丝, literally 'cut silk,' or kèsī 缂丝 in the same sound and meaning but with different writing. The technique itself is attested as early as 2000 BCE, 28 used on the woolen textile fragments found in Xiaohe cemetery in the Tarim Basin. And there are also hundreds of woolen pieces woven in this technique found all over the southern parts of the Tarim Basin from between the fourth century BCE and the seventh century CE through archaeological excavations, such as those in Cherchen-Zagunluk and Khotan-Sampula, etc. The same technique used for silk weaving, however, did not appear until the Han dynasty (206 BCE-221 CE),<sup>29</sup> and the earliest example of the use of *kè* as made of silk is found in Turfan, in an eastern part of the Tarim, and dated to the Tang dynasty (618-907 CE).30 The first appearance of the character kè is recorded in a dictionary called Yù Piān 《玉篇》 compiled in 543 CE. Notably, it does not appear in the earlier dictionary The Analytical Dictionary of Characters (100-121 CE). Yù Piān explained the term kè as meaning 'sewing and mending, weft weaving' ('缂, 鉄 zhì 也, 织纬也'). During the Song dynasty (960-1279 CE), China witnessed a

<sup>28</sup> Li Wenying 李文瑛 2008; Jia Yingyi, Li Wenying, Zhang Hengde 贾、李、张 2009.

<sup>29</sup> Xinjiang Museum 2010 p. 110. The source unfortunately does not give a particular example.

<sup>30</sup> Ibid. Also conf. Zhao Feng (2012 pp. 236-7) who thinks that kèsī 缂丝 was first formed in China as Tang dynasty.

flourishing time for  $k\grave{e}s\bar{\imath}$  production, when, in several major dictionaries and encyclopedias,  $k\grave{e}$  缂 was written as  $k\grave{e}s\bar{\imath}$  刻丝 or  $k\grave{e}s\bar{\imath}$  克丝, or  $k\grave{e}s\bar{\imath}$  剋丝, with  $k\grave{e}$  written in several homonyms, and  $s\bar{\imath}$  丝 or silk kept the same. It seems obvious that all the different written words for  $k\grave{e}$  are unified by their sound, which is not shown in the writing itself.

To compare explanations of these techniques, I quote below the description and terminology for weft weaving and slit tapestry from the *Encyclopedia Iranica*:

*Plain weaves.* ... If the wefts are tightly packed so that the warps are hidden or almost hidden, the structure is called **weft-faced**<sup>31</sup> plain weave, or tapestry weave. In Persia this structure is called *gelīm*<sup>32</sup> (Turk. *kilim*). Conversely, if the warps are packed so closely that they completely cover the wefts, the weave structure is called warp-faced plain weave ( $j\bar{a}j\bar{\iota}m$ ).

In tapestry weave the wefts may be continuous (carried from edge to edge) or discontinuous (turned back around adjacent warps part way across the work as required by the pattern). ... Discontinuous wefts may either interact or not. In the latter instance, where discontinuous wefts are turned back at the boundary between two colors, the adjacent warps are not bound together and a slit is left between them; this weave structure is thus called slit-tapestry weave ...... (Encyclopedia Iranica Online: CARPETS v. Flat-woven Carpets: Techniques and structures. http://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/carpets-v)

One can see that  $k\dot{e}$  is exactly this "weft-faced discontinuous slit-tapestry." In modern times, flat-weaving, including slit tapestry, is called  $gil\bar{\iota}m/kilim$  when made in the so-called "Oriental world" and tapestry when made in Europe.

However, although *kè* is exactly *gilim* technologically, the word is not borrowed directly from it. As discussed in the last section, the word *gilim* would not appear in record until the tenth century,

<sup>31</sup> This emphasis is mine. Compare to kè 缂, which is a 'weft weaving.'

<sup>32</sup> All emphases in this quotation are mine.

with New Persian, but *kè* was already recorded in the sixth century. It must come from an older terminology.

Since  $k\dot{e}$  as a technology for silk weaving appears so late (c. seventh century CE) in the long history of Chinese silk weaving, and since the earliest sample appears precisely in the Western Region, I would not hesitate to say that the technology was borrowed from the people of the Western Region. The Chinese silk expert Zhao Feng has drawn the same conclusion.<sup>33</sup> The terminology, although it appeared at least one century earlier, must also come from the Western Region. It is interesting to note that, in  $Yu\ Pian$ ,  $k\dot{e}$  is not related to  $s\bar{t}$  or silk. It seems that the Chinese had known the word and technique before they adopted them in silk-making, and it is logical to conclude that they had learned both in the Western Region.

So, what is the foreign term for Chinese *kè*?

Around the sixth century CE, there were several languages spoken in the Tarim Basin: Khotanese, Sogdian, Pahlavi Persian, Tocharian, and probably still Kharoṣṭhi. But as there is no record of the 'g, k' words for 'carpet' in Pahlavi Persian and Tocharian, the choices for  $k\dot{e}$  could be only kojava in Kharoṣṭhi,  $gah\bar{a}vara$  in Khotanese, gaudana in Sogdian, and the words related to each of these. These words are all interpreted as 'cover' or 'covering' or 'woven cloth for covering,' which implies that they could be used to mean several things, such as a blanket or a cloak or a carpet. The later term gilim is indeed interpreted as 'a garment,' 'a carpet,' and 'a blanket.' So, to me, kojava,  $gah\bar{a}vara$  and gaudana could mean a type of textile that could be used as a piece of cloth for anything including a carpet. In the next section, I will show that kojava and  $gah\bar{a}vara$ , etc., could be either flat or pile textile.

So, as regards weaving technology, the Chinese  $k\dot{e}$  fits these terms in at least one way, that is, flat-weaving. Although we do not know exactly whether  $ko\underline{j}ava$ ,  $gah\bar{a}vara$ , gaudana, etc., are 'slit-tapestry,' some descriptions of the materials in Chinese documents (see the next section) suggest that they are colorful, nicely flat-woven textiles. In the Western Region, woolen cloth with complex colors and designs is mostly woven in the slit-tapestry method.

-

<sup>33</sup> Cf. Zhao Feng (2012, pp. 236-7). He thinks kèsī 缂丝 originally came from the West.

#### The Problem of Knotted and Flat-weave Carpets

Identifying the type of carpet weave—i.e., knotted, piled or flat—from the terms applied to it has always been a problem. In English, people use 'rugs' and 'carpets' in an interchangeable and also confusing way. Sometimes, 'rugs' mean knotted or piled carpets, but for the same piece, 'carpet' is also used. Technically, there are two basic different carpets: a knotted-pile woven carpet, which is thicker, fluffier, and softer, but heavier, and a flat-weave carpet, which is thinner, lighter, and more flexible. Both can employ the same designs, although flat-weave is more limited in its possible patterns. In his translation of the Kharoṣṭhi documents, Burrow used 'rug' for *kojava*, and 'carpet' for *tavastaga* with a reference for *kojava* as 'a rug or cover with long hair, a fleecy counter-pane' found in the *Pali – English Dictionary*. Laufer, though, translated tadeng with its Persian origin 'tap' and taftan as 'woolen rugs', and taba' with its Persian origin taba' as 'dance rug.' In the texts I deal with in this study, I found confusion as bad as the English use of 'rug' and 'carpet.'

To my knowledge, there is no clear description of the carpets accompanying the technical weaving terms found in those ancient Kharoṣṭhi and Khotanese texts and nothing in Chinese texts either. However, in Pali and Sanskrit documents, there are interesting descriptions on certain textiles that may shed light on carpet terms. The best known is the one in Pali for *kojava* as quoted earlier. In the famous Kautilya's Arthashastra, there are listed more than a dozen kinds of woolen blankets including bed and floor coverings, and a couple of translators used words such as 'knotted in piles.'34 Burrow obviously used the Pali description of *kojava* for Kharoshthi *kojava* and Sanskrit *kaucapaka* and *kambala*. In his *Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages*, Turner provided more sources. Besides Pali, he interpreted general Sanskrit *köjava* as 'fleece cloth,' Prakrit *köyävaya* as 'coverlet made of cloth stuffed with cotton-wool,' and Sindhalese *koñdu* as 'made of goat's hair.' In *The Student's Pali–English Dictionay*, Maung Tin explained *kojava* as 'a coverlet made of goat's hair.' With these, it seems there is enough information for us to treat the term *kojava* as a textile of long hair.

But, there are problems. First of all, long hair or fleece does not make the textile necessarily a knotted pile rug or carpet. Some flat-woven textile could have fluffy long hair. Secondly, the possible origin word *kaucapa* in Sanskrit for *kojava* is described as a flat textile in some Buddhist documents.

17

<sup>34</sup> Rangarajan 1992, p. 732. Its "knotted in pile" requires an investigation.

And thirdly, my own researches in the ancient texts of several languages indicate that the term kojava in most cases refers to a flat-woven textile, while the term tavastaga refers to a different textile, likely one with a pile or knotted pile. Pelliot, the famous Sinologist, in his publication Notes on Marco Polo, vol. 1 (1959), discusses kojava particularly. In addition, he made the connection between kaucapa in Sanskrit and  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$  in Chinese, quoting the monk Yijing's (I-ching 义净 635-713) two transcriptions of kauchavaka and kaucava as ku-ch'e-po-chia 孤呫薄伽 (Pinyin: gu tie bo jia/ga) and kao-ch'e-po 高襵 婆 (Pinyin: gao zhe po). For the latter, Yijing himself noted that the term was a name of a carpet. Pelliot commented:

As a matter of fact, I-ching must have written on the authority of some dictionary; *kaucava* was the designation both of a blanket worn as a garment and of a carpet; in the text translated by I-ching, it could not be a carpet, since it was the first of the five garments allowed to the monks by the Buddha.

Pelliot also pointed out that another monk, Huilin (慧琳 737–820), saying that  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$  was a foreign word of the Hu (foreigners in the Western Region), and that the fabric was popularly known as 毛锦 maojin, or 'woolen brocade.' We know that jin, whether made of wool or silk, is a flat-woven textile.

It seems clear that kaucapa, kaucava, kaucavaka or  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$  is a flat-woven textile that can be used both as a garment and as a carpet.

In accordance with this statement, Raj Kumar (2008) also verifies that *kambala* used in Buddhist and Jain texts is "evidently used in the sense of fine woolen cloth for making clothing" (p. 57), and that *kambalagani*, a related word, "included all kinds of woolen clothes" (p. 94). He also explains *koyavani* and its equivalent *kotava* as *kambala*—a hairy blanket, but adds that among blankets, one kind that is named *gudmas* is "made of light and long fibred loose flossy wool fibers teased out then brushed" (p. 94). He clearly seems to mean that *kambala* is a flat textile, and that *kojava* could be a flat-woven blanket but having long hair.

My own researches draw a same conclusion.

As mentioned in the first section, there are two different terms used for carpets, and sometimes they appear together in the same text in the Niya Kharoṣṭhi documents. For example, in Document 431–2 (two sides of the same tablet), the scribe wrote:

This document is written concerning the wine in Yave avana. [......<sup>35</sup>] With the horse was one *kojava*<sup>36</sup> and one *ayisdha*. A third horse I send from the *tomgha* aja. The *suvesta* Marega received it. (It is) four years old. Along with that horse one *avale*, two *kojava*, and also one *ayisdha* were sent there. The total is forty-four, (also) one white *kojava*. These objects were all packed there in the capital by the *tomgha* aja. In addition four *kavaji* made of felt and one *raji*. On another occasion the queen came here. She asked for one golden stater. There is no gold. Instead of it we gave carpet (*tavastaga*) thirteen hands long. Seraka took it. Many people here know this matter as witnesses. (Also) one *artavasa*.

#### Also in Document 633:

[......] you do not sell. Priyavata and Sukmana have to go to the mountain with Kyutseya. There *kojava*, carpet (*tavastaga*<sup>37</sup>), and ghee are to be bought. ... (Burrow 1940)

Both, but especially the latter example, make it clear that kojava and tavastaga are two different types of carpets. In Chinese documents, the terms ji or  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$ , and  $tad\bar{e}ng$  also appear listed together as two different types of textile. Here are a few examples.

The Analytical Dictionary of Characters (100–121 CE) says: "qū 氍: qūshū 氍毹, tàdēng 毾毵, both belong to felt and woolen carpets; local dialects."<sup>38</sup>

<sup>35</sup> My elision.

<sup>36</sup> All emphases are mine.

<sup>37</sup> I add the original word from the original texts. In these two documents Burrow directly copied *kojava* and translated *tavastaga* for carpet. But in other translations of the documents, he used 'rug' for *kojava*.

<sup>38《</sup>说文解字》(100-121 CE) 毛部: 氍: 氍毹、毾跷, 皆氊緂之屬, 蓋方言也。从毛瞿声。毹: 氍毹也。从

In the *History of Three Kingdoms* (《三国志》 266–319 CE), the author describes a country in the west that produced textiles made not only of wool but also of "bark fiber or wild silk to weave  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$ ,  $t\dot{a}d\bar{e}ng$ , and  $j\dot{i}zh\dot{a}ng$ ." In the same text, the author also gives a long list of imports from Da Qin 大秦 (the Roman Mediterranean region), including "ten kinds of colored  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$ , five-colored  $t\dot{a}d\bar{e}ng$ , five-colored nine-colored under-body (sitting mat?)  $t\dot{a}d\bar{e}ng$ , …"

In the same Buddhist sutra  $Majjhima\ Nikàya$  quoted earlier, a king's palace is described as being a building (made?) of gold and a bed of silver that are "covered with  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$  and  $t\dot{a}d\bar{e}ng$ ."

Similar examples can be found also in many later records.

Unfortunately, however, none of these texts give any detail on which was a flat weave and which was a knotted-pile, except that they must mean two different kinds of carpets. Archaeology, as a matter of fact, finds that two different types of carpets did exist and are found together throughout excavations.

In Kharoṣṭhi documents I have also noticed that about half of the occurrences citing *tavastaga* give a measurement of the piece, such as the one acquired by the queen mentioned in Document 431-

毛俞聲。

39《三国志-魏书三十-倭人传》(266-316)國(安息之北 my note)出細絲。作金銀錢,金錢一當銀十。有織成細布,言用水羊毳,名曰海西布。此國六畜皆出水,或云非獨用羊毛也,亦用木皮或野蠒絲作,織成氍毹、毾氈、罽帳之屬皆好,其色又鮮於海東諸國所作也。……大秦多金、銀、銅、鐵、鈆、錫、神龜、白馬、朱髦、駭雞犀、瑇瑁、玄熊、赤螭、辟毒鼠、大貝、車渠、馬腦、南金、翠爵、羽翮、象牙、符采玉、明月珠、夜光珠、真白珠、虎魄、珊瑚、赤白黑綠黃青紺縹紅紫十種流離、璆琳、琅玕、水精、玫瑰、雄黃、雌黄、碧、五色玉、黄白黑綠紫紅絳紺金黃縹留黃十種氍毹、五色毾氈、五色九色首下毾氈、金縷繡、雜色綾、金塗布、緋持布、發陸布、緋持渠布、火浣布、阿羅得布、巴則布、度伐布、溫宿布、五色桃布、絳地金織帳、五色斗帳、一微木、二蘇合、狄提、迷迷、兜納、白附子、薰陸、鬱金、芸膠、薰草木十二種香。

40 I could not find any interpretation for the words '首下.' They could mean 'body below the head of a person,' or 'a special spot under an important person.' In the case of a carpet, I assume it is a mat for somebody important, maybe a mat for a throne, or one in front of a throne or bed.

41《中阿含經》卷第十四 東晉罽賓三藏瞿曇(317-420)僧伽提婆譯: 彼八萬四千夫人及女寶還去不久,大善見王即共侍者還昇大殿,則入金樓,坐銀御床,敷以氍氀、毾氈, 覆以錦綺羅縠,有襯體被,兩頭安枕, ...... 中華电子佛典協会 Chinese Buddhist Electronic Text Association (CEBTA) http://tripitaka.cbeta.org/mobile/index.php?index=Toinoo26\_014 accessed on July 22, 2014.

2, who received a 13-hand long tavastaga; whereas there is no measurement for kojava. Other scholars noticed this difference too. For example, in "A Study of Textiles and Garments as Depicted in Kharoshithi Documents from Chinese Turkestan" (Sri Ratna Chandra Agrawala 1951), the author asserts that while kojava objects were counted in numbers, tavastaga carpets were given various sizes in cubits as 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13. To explain this difference, I could only think that kojava likely was produced in a standard measurement such as a bolt or roll, which implies a standard loom that is set almost permanently for industrial production and also for complex patterns such as brocade in flatweaving. Meanwhile, tavastaga must have been produced on a simple and flexible loom that allows all sorts of sizes. Knotted weaving might fit such a flexible loom. One can still find today many different-sized knotted carpets, such as a mat for a chair, a sitting mat, a saddle, a prayer carpet, floor carpets, etc., but not so many selections in size in kilims. Also, tavastaga might be priced on its small measurement, inch by inch, and more expensive (After all, the queen did not receive a kojava but instead got a tavastaga in place of gold!). Technically, a knotted-pile carpet would be more expensive because it takes extra material for the knots to pile and consumes more time for weaving, while a flatwoven cloth would cost less in both material and time.

To see how expensive a piece of a  $t \grave{a} d \bar{e} n g$  would be, I quote here an interesting story recorded in a tenth-century Chinese encyclopedia.<sup>42</sup>

A man named Zhi Fa-cun, a foreigner from the West by origin, was born and raised in Guanzhou; he was so good at medicine that he became very rich. He had a *tàdēng* as long as eight or nine *chi* (about 9 to 10 feet, or 3 meters<sup>43</sup>), and with hundreds of images (woven) in it. It was so beautiful. He also had a bed made of agar wood of eight *chi* long that created a pleasant smell in the house all the time. A son of a high official named Wang Tan in Guangzhou asked many times for these two things from the man,

<sup>43</sup> My estimation.

but Fa-cun refused to give them away. So Wang accused Fa-cun of corruption, killed him, and confiscated his belongings.

Two relevant points can be drawn from this story: the *tàdēng* was given a measurement, and it must be a very expensive treasure that one would give one's life for.

So, to me tavastaga is more like a knotted-pile carpet, and kojava, or ji or  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$  is different. For the latter, there are more accounts indicating that it could be a flat textile.

Throughout the Chinese texts that describe the foreign textiles, I found that, although writers of different periods randomly used terms like  $j\hat{\imath}$ ,  $t\hat{a}d\bar{e}ng$ , and  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$ , etc., for carpets, just as we do today in using 'rug' or 'carpet' without clarifying the difference, they never used  $t\hat{a}d\bar{e}ng$  for garments. They used  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$  (see Pelliot's comments above) and  $j\hat{\imath}$  for both carpets and garments. There are several accounts in the Han dynasty texts that mention  $j\hat{\imath}$  as garments. The earliest one found describes some "barbarians" wearing clothes made of  $j\hat{\imath}$  in a pull-over fashion.<sup>44</sup> One of the accounts states that King Wendi (汉文帝) once went hunting wearing clothes of  $j\hat{\imath}$  and a felt hat.<sup>45</sup> Another account criticizes the court of King Wudi (汉武帝) as it became so corrupted that even dogs wore  $j\hat{\imath}$  clothes.<sup>46</sup> One can find many more such descriptions employing  $j\hat{\imath}$ .

It is apparent that  $j\hat{i}$  is a relatively light and fine textile that could be used to make clothes. If  $j\hat{i}$  or  $kojava/gah\bar{a}vara$  can be used to make clothes, it has to be a flat-woven textile.

\* \* \*

To sum up the discussion, the terms kojava in Kharoṣṭhi,  $gah\bar{a}vara$  in Khotanese, gaudana in Sogdian, and ji,  $q\bar{u}sh\bar{u}$ , and  $k\dot{e}$  in Chinese, likely mean flat-woven textiles. They were recorded as being used for garments, blankets, and carpets. In the case of kojava in Pali, which contradicts this result—as it is clearly described as a hairy and fleecy rug or cover—I wonder if the Pali word happened to mean a particular type of textile: piled with long hair, or that the same word was used casually in

<sup>44</sup> 王充(27-97 年)《論衡·恢國》(86 CE): 唐、虞國界,吳為荒服,越在九夷,罽衣關頭,今皆夏服,褒衣履舄。

<sup>45</sup> 應劭《風俗通義·孝文帝》(189-220): 文帝代服衣罽,襲氈帽,騎駿馬,從侍中、近臣、常侍、期門武騎獵漸臺下,馳射狐兔,果雉刺彘。

<sup>46</sup> 荀悦(148-209 CE)《前漢紀·孝武皇帝紀二》(200 CE): 犬馬被繢罽。

other languages through its borrowing and lending processing. The terms tavastaga in Kharoṣṭhi,  $thauracaih\ddot{a}$  in Khotanese,  $t\grave{a}d\bar{e}ng$  in Chinese, and likely, tapast, tapastak in Pahlavi Persian, could mean knotted-pile carpets or rugs. At this point, it seems to me that the 'k/c, g' words and 't, th' words, like the modern usage of 'rug' and 'carpet,' are interchangeable in a casual way, except probably in some business transactions where the terminology needs to be specified.

#### Terms Used in Turkic Languages and Their Origins

The Chinese are not the only people who were influenced by Iranian, Indo-Aryan, and other European languages in Central Asia. The Turkic terms for carpets, by the eleventh century, for example, also show an interesting parallel with some Iranian terms. Here is a list of terms I obtained from the famous Maḥmūd Kāshgarī's *Turkic Dictionary*, compiled in the years 1072–74,<sup>47</sup> and Clauson's *An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-13th Century Turkish*.

- *kiviz* (*keviz*) 'carpet' (vol. 1, p. 384)
- köwüz 'a large carpet, or any mattress or sofa woven of wool' (Clauson 1972, p. 692)<sup>48</sup>
- $k\theta z \partial k^{49}$  'weaving equipment' (vol. 1, p. 411)
- *kərim (kerim)* 'wall hanging'; *tam kərimi* 'a wall covering' (vol. 1, p. 419)
- *koxik* 'curtain, covering' (vol. 1, p. 431)
- *kepsün* 'soft mattress' (vol. 1, p. 461)
- *toxək* (*töşe:k*<sup>50</sup>) 'covering, blanket' (vol. 1, p. 407)
- *tavrattï* 'twist, spin, weave' (vol. 2, p. 342)
- *yażim* 'mattress, covering' (vol. 3, p. 16)

I found that among these terms, except for the last one, *yażim*, all fall into two groups, one each of words starting with the sounds of 'k' and 't.' In the entry *töşe:k*, Clauson (1972, p. 563) specifies the word to be a Persian loanword. And indeed I also found an almost perfect match: *tavratti* on the

<sup>47</sup> I used the Chinese translation of the dictionary in a form of Turk-Uighur-Chinese. See Kashgari in the bibliography.

<sup>48</sup> I missed this term in the Chinese translation of Kashgari's dictionary, so I quote it from Clauson.

<sup>49</sup> The original source for letter "θ" used on this list does not explain its sound. However, I found that Clauson used "ö" in place of "θ", e.g., "töşe:k" for "tθxək".

<sup>50</sup> In Clauson 1972, p. 563.

Turkic list to *tavastaga* in Niya Kharoṣṭhi and *thauracaihä* in Khotanese. According to Clauson, *töşe:k* first appears in a Uyghur Buddhist text of the eighth century CE. The date nicely overlaps with Khotanese, Sogdian, and Sasanian Persian.

Since the 't' words are related to Iranian and Indian families, I realized that the 'k' words might not be accidentally similar. *Kiviz/keviz, köwüz, kərim/kerim, koxik*, and *kopsün*, etc., are indeed in parallel with Kharoṣṭhi *kojava*, Khotanese *gahāvara*, and the like, in both semantics and phonetics. This is a really exciting discovery. See the simple Carpet Terminology Chart that follows. Readers can judge for themselves.

These parallels convinced me that the Turkic terms with 'k' for carpets also derived from Iranian and Indian languages. Among the 'k' words, *kərim/kerim* is the closest to *gilīm*, but later in time.

The actual Turkic term *kilim* appears in an Egyptian source quoted in Clauson's *An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-13th Century Turkish*. Under the entry *töşe:k*, there is this sentence: "but in Kitāb Beylik *töşek* is *al-tarraha wa'l-firas* and *al-bisāṭ* is *kili:m*" (Clauson 1972, p. 563). This source (Kitāb Beylik) gives a list of Turkish vocabularies with Arabic equivalents; it was written in Egypt in the year 1313 (Clauson 1972, p. XXV, para. 50).

In the eighteenth century, the terms used by Turkic peoples in Xinjiang were recorded in a Qing dynasty document called *Annals of the Western Region* — *Costumes* (1782). Here there are found three terms used for carpets: 帕拉斯 or *palasi*, 吉里雅木 or *giliyam*, and 资勒察 or 资勒卡 or *zilecha*, *zileka*, all of which can be easily transcribed back to *palas*, *gilim*, and *zelūcha* in the (New) Persian dictionary. From my own residence in Xinjiang for more than twenty years, I also learned that, since the 1950s at least, the Uighurs of Khotan called a carpet *glenm* (it is not clear whether this is flat or knotted) and the Kazakhs of the Yili region called it *klem* and *haliklem* (the latter is said to be a carpet with long woolen hair, probably knotted). The terms are also obviously variations of *gilim*, *kilim*, and *hali-kilim*, all of Persian or Turkish connections.

Although I think that these modern terms used in Xinjiang were likely reintroduced into the region in modern times from the West, there is a possibility that the older terms never died out but were modified to fit new meanings.

Before I end this section, I would like to mention that in Kashgari's *Turkic Dictionary*, I found two very interesting words: *qüz* and *kəz*. The former is explained as "China-made silk with gold threads woven on red ground," and the latter as "a type of woven silk made in China." I cannot help but think of *kèsī* 缂丝. During the lifetime of Maḥmūd Kāshgarī, Song China was at its high point of economic development, and *kèsī*, or slit-tapestry in silk, was produced and exported in large quantity and high quality. I am almost sure that *qüz* and *kəz* mean *kèsī*. It is interesting to me that the Chinese of several hundred years earlier had learned the slit-tapestry technique and transcribed the term from the Western Region people, and now the textile and term came back to the Western Region as a Chinese import.

#### Early Iranian Terms and a Possible Interaction with Mesopotamian Terms

Out of curiosity, I searched for earlier (than Avestan) occurrences of 'carpet' in Iranian languages,<sup>51</sup> and I came across instead an Assyrian Aramaic word for 'carpet maker'  $-k\bar{a}midu$ . It appears on a so-called wine list studied by J. V. K. Wilson. In his study *The Nimrud Wine List* (1913, pp. 67–70), Wilson listed the titles for a few textile workers employed by the king and queen in the time of Neo-Assyrian dynasties in eighth century BCE:

- išparu weaver
- *ašlāku* fuller
- *kāṣiru* tailor
- *kāmidu* felt maker, carpet maker (Conf. Sumerian: *túg-du*: AKK *šugurru*: carpet)
- *mugabuu* or *mukabuu* sewer

Despite coming from a totally different linguistic family, the word  $k\bar{a}midu$  struck me, because it is so close to the Old Persian gaud in sound and meaning, as well as to the Sanskrit kambala and  $kabal\bar{i}ja$ , both for 'blanket' or 'blanket cloth,'52 Khotanese words  $kamaiśk\ddot{a}$  and kaimeja, both for

<sup>51</sup> Although a few Greek authors described Achaemenid carpets (for example, Arrian's description of the tomb of Cyrus), they did not give the word in Persian. In Athenaeus and Xenophon (second–third century CE), the word *psilotapis* in Greek was used to refer to a special kind of carpet (A. U. Pope 1967, vol. vi, p. 2272), in which –*tapis* seems rooted with *tap*.

52 Baily did not single out *kameja*, *kambala* and *kabalīja* in his entry list, but he used the first one under *kamaiśkä* (covering) and the other two at least twice as 'blanket' and 'blanket cloth' under *karasta*- (fur garment) and *kāmmadā* 

'covering,' and *kāṃmadä* for 'trousers.' The correspondence looked a bit too strong to be a coincidence. Also, *kāṣiru*, a tailor, is someone who "cuts" and sews. The word might have a "cut" component in it. And, indeed, I found in the *Assyrian–English–Assyrian Dictionary* (Parpola 2007) these words: *gazāru* 'cut,' *gadāmu* 'cut down,' *qadādu* 'cut away,' *karātu* 'cut off,' *kašāṭu* 'cut down,' *kaṣāṣu* 'cut through,' etc. They remind me of the Indo-European root word *kēr* 'to cut' as they were referred to by Baily and Clauson many times in their Iranian and Turkic studies.

For example, Bailey's entries for Khotanese *karasta*- and *kīḍakyä* give such references as:

- karasta- 'fur garment'; Pašto krasta 'felt, woolen cloth.' Base IE Pok (?). kēr 'to cut' (Bailey 1979,
   p. 54)
- kīḍakyä 'garment.' From kart- 'to cut, tailor.' Av. kərəti- 'garment.' Base IE ker- 'to cut' (ibid., p. 60)

It is really amazing to see Iranian kart- 'to cut, tailor' and Assyrian  $k\bar{a}$ siru 'tailor' paralleling each other.

Also, in his *Etymological Dictionary of Pre-13th Century Turkish*, Clauson notices some possible connection between the Turkic word *kes-* 'to cut, cut off' and the Tocharian-B word *käs-* 'to cut' and asks: "The resemblance to Tochrian B *käs-* 'to cut' is a coincidence?" Now, the same question could be asked about the Tochrian *käs-* and Assyrian *karātu*, *kašāṭu*, and *kaṣāṣu*, etc.

Considering that the Neo-Assyrian Aramaic language was once a *lingua franca* during the Achaemenid Persian period, the similarities suggest that the Assyrians and Persians borrowed words from one another then, or even earlier, and passed on the terms through Persian languages.

It appears to me that in the very early stages of language development, i.e., the Neo-Assyrian period of the tenth—eighth century BCE at least, Indo-European families started to share some words with peoples in Mesopotamia.

It may be interesting to note too that *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language* Online gives an etymological clue in a bracket under the term *kē-lēm*, *kĭl-ĭm*:

(trousers).

53 Clauson 1972, p. 748.

[Turkish, from Persian *gilīm*, garment made of wool or goat hair, blanket, rug; perhaps akin to Akkadian *gulēnu* and Aramaic *glīmā*, cloak.] (Accessed on July 16, 2014 http://ahdictionary.com/word/search.html?q=kilim)

So, besides  $k\bar{a}midu$  and  $k\bar{a}siru$ , there are Akkadian  $gul\bar{e}nu$  and Aramaic  $gl\bar{u}m\bar{a}$  (cloak) to think about. However, between the Akkadian  $gul\bar{e}nu$  and the Aramaic  $gl\bar{u}m\bar{a}$  and the later Persian word  $gil\bar{u}m$ , there is about an 1800-year timespan (eighth c. BCE to tenth c. CE). I just do not see how the terms jumped, unless they were borrowed and modified at a very early stage and were passed on in different forms. My search through several possible Iranian and Indian languages indicates that the closest matches are the k, k words in the Carpet Terminology Chart, below.

#### Conclusion

I conclude by highlighting several issues that are dealt with above and that I hope have been made better understood in this study, through the following summarized points:

- The terms for carpets used by the people of the Tarim Basin, especially those of Khotan, are specifically discussed, particularly *kojava* and *tavastaga* in Prakrit Kharoṣṭhi at around the third–fourth century CE, and *gahāvara* and *thauracaihä* in Khotanese from about the fourth to the eleventh century.
- The above terms are found to share the same root words with the Pali *kojava*, Sanskrit *kocava*, *kocavaka*, and *kaukapaka*, Old Persian *â-gaud*, and Sogdian *gaudana*; and Old Persian *tan*, *tauruna*, Sanskrit *tantuvichchhinna* and *thavana*, Sasanian Pahlavi *tadak*, *tapast*, *tapestak*, and Persian *tapast*, *tāftan*, *tambasa*, etc.
- Several Chinese terms for carpets from Central Asia recorded in early historical documents are confirmed to be transcriptions of foreign words that were used by peoples of the Western Region in the languages of Old Persian, Sanskrit, Prakrit, Khotanese, Sasanian Persian, and Sogdian. The terms jì 罽, qūshū 氍毹, and kè 缂 could come from any one of the following: Sanskrit kocava, kocavaka, and kaukapaka, Pali kojava, Old Persian gaud, Niya Kharoṣṭhi kojava, Khotanese gahāvara, gaihe, etc., and Sogdian gaudana. The terms tàdēng 氉氈 and tàbì 氉壁 could be from any of these: Old Persian tan, tauruna, Niya Kharoṣṭhi tavastaga,

Khotanes *thauracaihä*, Sasanian Pahlavi *tadak*, *tapast*, and *tapestak*, and Persian *tapast*, *tāftan*, *taṃbasa*, *tānīdan*, and *tabidan*, etc.

- It is confirmed that *kè* 缂 in Chinese is not only a word transcribed from a foreign one, but also a technique learned from the Western Region; it is flat slit-tapestry weaving.
- The words starting with 'k/c, g' in both Iranian and Indian languages seem to be shared with Mesopotamian languages.
- The eleventh-century Turkic terms *kiviz* (*keviz*), *kərim* (*kerim*), and the like, and *toxək* (*töşe:k*), *tavrattï*, etc., are aligned with two similar sets of Iranian and Indian terms, which indicates that the Turkic words are loanwords from these languages.
- My search for the origins of *gilim/kilim* through Iranian, Turkic, Indo-European, and Aramaic languages, found that *gilim* did not appear in written records until the tenth century in a Persian text, and *kilim* did not appear in a Turkic dictionary in the fourteenth century. Both are rooted in earlier Iranian languages.

Language	Word (k, g)	Word (t, th)	Word (f, p, b, a, u) <sup>54</sup>	Date
Kharoṣṭhi (Niya)	kojava, kosava goni	tavastaga, thavastae thavamnaga	vastaraṁnena	3rd–4th c. CE
Khotanese	gahāvara gahaā, cauşka, khauśka, kamaiśkä, kaimeja kabalīja	thauracaihä	painajä, peṃabara, pveca,	5th–11th c. CE
Pali	<b>kojava</b> gōṇa, gōṇaka		atthara, upatthara paristoma	3rd c. BCE – ?
Prakrit	kōyavaya		attharaya, uvatthaḍa	
Sanskrit (Arthashastra)	kaukapaka, kambala	tantuvichchhinna	Paristoma	2nd c. BCE – 3rd c. CE
Sanskrit (Buddhist Hybrid)	kocava, kocavaka			200 BCE – 700 CE

<sup>54</sup> These words may or may not belong together to a same group. Only for the sake of space do I put them in the same column.

Zhang He, "The Terminology for Carpets in Ancient Central Asia" Sino-Platonic Papers, 257 (May 2015)

Language	Word (k, g)	Word (t, th)	Word (f, p, b, a, u) <sup>54</sup>	Date
Sanskrit (Kuci)		thavana <sup>55</sup>	$(\hat{a} ext{-}stara)^{56}$	4th–5th c. CE
Avestan (Old Persian)	â-gaud, gaud	tauruna, tan <sup>57</sup>	fraspāt upastara,	6th c. BCE – 2nd c. CE
Sasanian Pahlavi (Mid Persian)		tadak, tapast, tapastak	fars, frasp, farasp, bûp	3rd–8th c. CE
Sogdian	gaudana, â- gaud		faspā, parštarn, upastərəna-	4th–10th c. CE
Persian (New)	gilīm, qālī, etc. <sup>58</sup>	tapast, tāftan, tabidan, <u>t</u> absa	farasp, farš, -bisāṯ, būb	10th c. CE –
Chinese	jì (gai), qūshū, kè	tàdēng, tàbì, tăn		3rd c. BCE – 6th c. CE

 $<sup>55~\</sup>mathrm{Under}\,thauna$  in Bailey 1979. No specific definition is given.

<sup>56</sup> This word is from Macdonell's A Practical Sanskrit Dictionary.

<sup>57</sup> These two words are interpreted as 'to stretch, tender,' not directly as coverings or carpets.

<sup>58</sup> These are not in the Persian etymological dictionary. Their earliest appearances are in the tenth century.

Language	Word (k, g)	Word (t, th)	Word (f, p, b, a, u)54	Date
Turkic	keviz, köwüz, kerim (köwüz,	tavrattï, töşe:k		8th–11th c. CE
	kebis, kibis, xevis) <sup>59</sup> kilim			Since 14th c. CE
Aramaic (Neo-Assyrian)	kāmidu, kāṣiru, glīmā (cloak)			10th–7th c. BCE
Akkadian	gulēnu (cloak)			8th c. BCE (?)

<sup>59</sup> Terms in parentheses are dated from the thirteenth century or found in various Turkic languages (Clauson 1972, p. 692).

#### **Bibliography**

- Acar, Balkis Balpinar. *Kilim Cicim Zili Sumak: Turkish Flatweaves*. Istanbul: Eren Yayinlari, 1983.
- Agrawala, Sri Ratna Chandra. "A Study of Textiles and Garments as Depicted in the Kharoshthi Documents from Chinese Turkestan," in the *Sixteenth All-India Oriental Conference, Proceedings and Transactions*, 1951, vol. 2, chap. 13, Technical Sciences Section, pp. 371–385.
- The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, 5th ed. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt
  Publishing Company. http://ahdictionary.com/word/search.html?q=kilim accessed on July 16,
  2014.
- Bailey, H. W. Dictionary of Khotan Saka. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- Bidder, Hans. *Carpets from Eastern Turkestan Known as Khotan, Samarkand and Kansu Carpets*. Trans. Grace Marjory Allen. Tubingen: Verlag Ernst Wasmuth 1964 (repr. 1979).
- Boyer, A. M., E. J. Rapson, and E. Senart. *Kharosthi Inscriptions Discovered by Sir Aurel Stein in Chinese Turkestan*. Parts I–III. Oxford, 1920–1927.
- Brough, John. "Comments on Third-Century Shan-shan and the History of Buddhism," *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 582–612. University of London, Cambridge University Press, 1965.
- Burrow, T. *A Translation of the Kharoṣṭhi Documents from Chinese Turkistan*. London: The Royal Asiatic Society, 1940.
- ———. The Language of the Kharoṣthi Document from the Chinese Turkistan. Cambridge University Press, 1937.
- Chen Zhutong. *Economic and Cultural Exchanges Between the Two Han and Western Regions*. Shanghai People's Publisher, 1957 (陈竺同:《两汉与西域等地的经济文化交流》上海人民出版社 1957).
- Clauson, Gerard. *An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth Century Turkish*. Bilingual edition.

  Oxford University Press, 1972.
- Davids, T. W. Rhys, William Stede. *Pali–English Dictionary*. First Indian ed. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass Publishers Private Ltd. 1993. (First publ. London, 1921–1925).

- Duan Qing. "Were Textiles Used as Money in Khotan in the Seventh and Eighth Centuries?" *Journal of Royal Asiatic Society* (JRAS) Series 3, 23, 2 (2013), pp. 307–325.
- Encyclopedia Iranica Online: CARPETS. http://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/carpets-index accessed on June 15, 2014.
- Fu Heng et al. Annals of the Western Regions Costumes. 1782 (傅恒等纂:《西域图志-服物》1782). Gharib, B. Sogdian Dictionary: Sogdian-Persian-English. Tehran: Farhangan Publications, 1995.
- Henning, W. B. "A Sogdian Fragment of the Manichaean Cosmogony," *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, University of London, Vol. 12, No. 2 (1948), pp. 306–18. Cambridge University Press on behalf of the School of Oriental and African Studies.
- Jia Yingyi, Li Wenying, and Zhang Hengde. *Xinjiang Carpets*. Suzhou University Press, 2009 (贾应逸、李文瑛、张亨德:《新疆地毯》苏州大学出版社 2009).
- Kashgari, Mahmud ibn Hussayn ibn Muhammad. *Dīwān Luǧāt al-Turk* (Compendium of the Languages of the Turks) 1072–1074. Chinese translation. Beijing: Ethnical Publishing House, 2002 (麻赫穆德-本-侯赛音-本-穆罕默德-喀什噶里《突厥语大词典》民族出版社,北京 2002).
- Kumar, Raj. *Encyclopaedia of Untouchables Ancient, Medieval and Modern*. Delhi: Kalpaz Publications, 2008.
- Laufer, Berthold. Sino-Iranica Chinese Contributions to the History of Civilization in Ancient Iran.

  Field Museum of Natural History Publication 201, Anthropological Theories, vol. XV, no. 3.

  Chicago, 1919.
- Li Wenying. "Brief Introduction to Xinjiang Excavated Woolen and Silk Textiles" in *Silk Road: Ancient Culture in Xinjiang*, ed. Qi Xiaoshan and Wang Bo. Xinjiang People's Publisher, 2008 (李文瑛:"新疆出土毛、丝织物简论"《丝绸之路-新疆古代文化》祁小山、王博编著,新疆人民出版社 2008).
- Macdonell, Arthur Anthony. *A Practical Sanskrit Dictionary*. Digital Dictionaries of South Asia. http://dsalsrvo2.uchicago.edu/cgibin/romadict.pl?page=4o&table=macdonell&display=simple accessed on July 5, 2014.

- Nourai, Ali. *An Etymological Dictionary of Persian, English, and Other Indo-European Languages*. http://www.archive.org/details/AnEtymologicalDictionaryOfPersianEnglishAndOtherIndo-europeanLanguages accessed on June 20, 2014.
- Parpola, Simo, ed. *Assyrian–English–Assyrian Dictionary*. The Neo-Assyrian Text Corpus Project, 2007; Institute for Asian and African Studies. University of Helsinki.
- Pelliot, Paul. "Notes on Marco Polo", vol. 1. Adrien-Maisonneuve, 1959. National Institute of Informatics. Digital Silk Road Project. Digital Archive of Toyo Bunko Rare Books http://dsr.nii.ac.jp/toyobunko/III-2-F-c-104/V-1/page-hr/0508.html.en; accessed on Jan. 23, 2015.
- Pope, Arthur U. "The Art of Carpet Making: A History," in *Vol. 6, Carpets, Metalwork, and Minor Arts*, in *A Survey of Persian Art from Prehistoric Times to the Present*, ed. Arthur U. Pope. London and New York: Oxford University Press, 1967 (1938–9).
- Qian Boquan. "Inventory of Burial Clothing Found in Turfan of Wei, Jin, and North and South Dynasties" in *Turfanological Research*, 2001, no. 1. Xinjiang Society of Turfanology, and Xinjiang Turfan Bureau of Cultural Relics. 钱伯泉: "吐鲁番出土魏晋南北朝时期的随葬衣物疏研究"《吐鲁番学研究》,新疆吐鲁番学会,新疆吐鲁番地区文物局主办 2001 年第一期.
- Rangarajan, L. N. Kautilya—The Arthashastra. Penquin Books, 1992.
- Rasonyi, Lydia. "Turklerde Halicilik Terimleri ve Haliciligin Mensei," Turk Kulturu, IX, 108. Ankara, 1971.
- Scharfe, Hartmut. "On the Language of the Arthashastra" *Investigation in Kautilya's Manual of Political Science*. Rev. ed. 1968; republ. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1993.
- Shamasastry, R. Kautilya: Arthashastra. Bagalore: Government Press, 1915.
- Shen Fuwei. *History of Cultural Exchange Between China and the West.* Shanghai People's Publisher, 2006 (沈福伟:《中西文化交流史》第二版。上海人民出版社 2006).
- Shi Yuntao. Monographs of the History of Transportation and Exchange Between China and the West in Early Times. Xueyuan Publishing House, 2003 (石云涛:《早期中西交通与交流史稿》学 苑出版社 2003).
- Steingass, F. J. A Comprehensive Persian–English Dictionary, Including the Arabic Words and Phrases To Be Met with in Persian Literature. London: Routledge & K. Paul, 1892.

- Tin, Maung. *The Student's Pali–English Dictionary*. Rangoon: Rangoon College, British Burma Press, 1920 (1961).
- Turner, R. L. *A Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages*. School of Oriental and African Studies. Motilal Banarsidass. First publ. in Britain, 1966; first Indian ed. Delhi, 1999.
- West, Edward William. *Pahlavi Texts, Part 1—Sacred Books of the East.* Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1880; digital version, Princeton University, Oct. 14, 2008.
- Wilson, J. V. K. *The Nimrud Wine List—A Study of Men and Administration at the Assyrian Capital in the Eighth Century B. C.* British School of Archaeology in Iraq, 1972.
- Xinjiang Uighur Autonomous Region Museum. *Costume Essence in Ancient Western Regions*. Wenwu Publishing House, 2010 (新疆维吾尔自治区博物馆:《古代西域服饰集撷萃》2010).
- Zhao Feng. "Silks in Sui, Tang, and Five Dynasties," in *Chinese Silks*, ed. Dieter Kuhn. New Haven and London: Yale University Press; Beijing: Foreign Language Press, 2012.

Since June 2006, all new issues of *Sino-Platonic Papers* have been published electronically on the Web and are accessible to readers at no charge. Back issues are also being released periodically in e-editions, also free.

For a complete catalog of *Sino-Platonic Papers*, with links to free issues, visit the *SPP* Web site.

www.sino-platonic.org