
SINO-PLATONIC PAPERS

Number 91

January, 1999

Phonosymbolism or Etymology: the Case of the Verb “Cop”

by
Victor H. Mair

Victor H. Mair, Editor
Sino-Platonic Papers
Department of East Asian Languages and Civilizations
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6305 USA
vmair@sas.upenn.edu
www.sino-platonic.org

SINO-PLATONIC PAPERS

FOUNDED 1986

Editor-in-Chief
VICTOR H. MAIR

Associate Editors
PAULA ROBERTS MARK SWOFFORD

ISSN
2157-9679 (print) 2157-9687 (online)

SINO-PLATONIC PAPERS is an occasional series dedicated to making available to specialists and the interested public the results of research that, because of its unconventional or controversial nature, might otherwise go unpublished. The editor-in-chief actively encourages younger, not yet well established, scholars and independent authors to submit manuscripts for consideration. Contributions in any of the major scholarly languages of the world, including romanized modern standard Mandarin (MSM) and Japanese, are acceptable. In special circumstances, papers written in one of the Sinitic topolects (*fangyan*) may be considered for publication.

Although the chief focus of *Sino-Platonic Papers* is on the intercultural relations of China with other peoples, challenging and creative studies on a wide variety of philological subjects will be entertained. This series is **not** the place for safe, sober, and stodgy presentations. *Sino-Platonic Papers* prefers lively work that, while taking reasonable risks to advance the field, capitalizes on brilliant new insights into the development of civilization.

Submissions are regularly sent out to be refereed, and extensive editorial suggestions for revision may be offered.

Sino-Platonic Papers emphasizes substance over form. We do, however, strongly recommend that prospective authors consult our style guidelines at www.sino-platonic.org/stylesheet.doc. Manuscripts should be submitted as electronic files, preferably in Microsoft Word format. You may wish to use our sample document template, available here: www.sino-platonic.org/spp.dot.

Beginning with issue no. 171, *Sino-Platonic Papers* has been published electronically on the Web at www.sino-platonic.org. Issues 1–170, however, will continue to be sold as paper copies until our stock runs out, after which they too will be made available on the Web.

Please note: When the editor goes on an expedition or research trip, all operations (including filling orders) may temporarily cease for up to three months at a time. In such circumstances, those who wish to purchase various issues of *SPP* are requested to wait patiently until he returns. If issues are urgently needed while the editor is away, they may be requested through Interlibrary Loan. You should also check our Web site at www.sino-platonic.org, as back issues are regularly rereleased for free as PDF editions.

Sino-Platonic Papers is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.5 License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 543 Howard Street, 5th Floor, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

Phonosymbolism or Etymology: the Case of the Verb "Cop"

Victor H. Mair
Department of Asian & Middle Eastern Studies
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6305
USA

Tel.: 215-898-8432
FAX: 215-573-9617
vmair@sas.upenn.edu

November 24, 1997
rev. January 5, 1999

William Rozycki has written a stimulating article ("Phonosymbolism and the Verb *cop*") in which he attempts to show that various presumably unrelated languages around the world have independently chosen the syllable *kap*, or some close variant thereof, to convey the following meanings: "take, grasp, grab, seize, capture". He is able to cite an impressive amount of evidence in favor of his contention.

Rozycki explicitly states that he makes no claim for the universality of phonosymbolism, yet the manner in which he presents his argument leads him to come dangerously close to making such an assertion. Here is the distillation of his thesis:

...I will present both historic and areal evidence that a tendency or force is at work in the connection of the phonetic shape [kap] and the semantic range of 'catch, seize, snatch.' Like suprasegmentals in relation to the workings of phonology, this phonosymbolic force is another dimension, not yet clearly understood, that exerts influence on the process of word formation.

After reading and rereading Rozycki's paper several times, I have come to the conclusion that phonosymbolism is not at all like suprasegmentals, that it is a mystical concept, that it never will be clearly understood, and that it has no effective or discernible influence on the process of word formation -- except for onomatopoeia (and there only to a limited degree because it is well known that people in different cultures come up with radically different imitative sounds for dogs barking [e.g., English "bow-wow" is *wang-wang* in Mandarin], trees crashing, rain falling, squeals of delight or pain, and so forth).

Let us suppose -- purely for the sake of argument -- that there may be some intrinsic, cosmic connection between the configuration of phonemes we transcribe as *kap* and the galaxy of meanings which cluster around "take / grasp / grab / seize / capture".

ka(ki)- and the verb *harau* 'clear out, sweep away, wipe off, brush off, drive away, banish; prune; parry; pay; dispose of; wield (a sword) sideways'. Historically, the initial consonant of *harau* was a labial, and this accounts for the assimilation of *ka(ki)*- as ending with a labial. The same two characters may be read as *kakiharau* 'rake (something [e.g., grass]) off'.

Here too there are questions. First of all, the semantic content of *kapparau* 'catch away, pilfer, filch, purloin' is actually rather different from that of "cop" (catch, arrest, steal) and its etymon (or, per Rozycki, its phonosymbolic source [shape-range]) *kap* 'grasp, grab, seize, take'. Even when it is supposedly functioning as a prefix, *ka(ki)*- still retains to one degree or another its basic meaning of "scratch; clear away; rake; comb; lop / cut off". That is to say, whether overtly or not, *ka(ki)*- implies a rustling / ruffling / raking / swiping / sweeping / scratching sort of movement. Thus *kapparau*, like virtually all of the other scores of words formed with *ka(ki)*- as their initial syllable, conveys the idea of sweeping something up or away with a swift, vigorous movement. Whereas "cop" emphasizes the action of taking hold of or apprehending / grabbing / grasping something, *kapparau* indicates the quick, energetic motion with which something is swept up by a thief. Indeed, the closest synonym in English is the slang word "swipe" (steal, filch), which is undoubtedly related to the word "sweep". Finally, Rozycki states that Backhouse recognizes *kapparau* as a typical example of phonosymbolism. Actually, what Backhouse says about *kapparau* is that it belongs to a verb-forming group of expressive prefixes and that, like other expressive prefixes, it is class-maintaining and semantically intensive.⁷ This is, to be sure, an accurate assessment of the function of *ka(ki)*- in the word *kapparau* where it reinforces and intensifies the semantic content of *harau*. Hence, for all of these reasons (semantic, structural or morphological, functional), *kapparau* has no bearing on the matter of the alleged phonosymbolism of "cop" < *kap*.

Let us look more closely at the verb "cop" itself. As a noun, "cop" is an informal word for "police officer". It is derived from the slang verb "cop" which means "take unlawfully or without permission; steal, filch", "get **hold** of; gain or win", or "catch, nab".⁸ The verb "cop" is used in many colorful slang phrases such as "cop out" (renege; back out of responsibility or duty), "cop a plea" (plea-bargain), "cop a bean" (deflower a virgin), "cop a buzz" (become intoxicated with marijuana), "cop a cherry" (deflower a virgin), and "cop a feel" (touch or feel a woman's sexual parts either as if it were an accident or quite blatantly).⁹ Inasmuch as several of these expressions have been coined within recent decades, it is clear that the verb "cop" with the meanings "take unlawfully or without permission; steal", "get **hold** of; gain or win", or "catch" is still highly productive

and well known across broad segments of the American population. There are also a number of other slang expressions employing the verb "cop", some of quite recent coinage, that are unique to British English.¹⁰

The etymology of the slang word "cop" is not easy to trace. Slang being what it is (often highly ephemeral and largely restricted to the oral realm), we cannot expect to find that it has an obvious and upstanding pedigree. Nonetheless, we may attempt to recover the origin of "cop" through various means.

In the first place, "cop" has been used in the sense of "capture, arrest" since at least 1704 and in the sense of "receive, suffer (something bad)" since at least 1884.¹¹

*The Random House Dictionary of the English Language*¹² lists the expression "cop out" as occurring already from the period 1695-1705. Its etymology is listed in the same source as deriving from an obsolete verb *cap* meaning "to snatch" < Scots *cap* 'seize' < dial. Old French *caper* 'take' and ultimately < Latine *capere*.

The first edition of the *Oxford English Dictionary* (vol. 2, p. 967ab) identifies the verb "cop", which it defines as meaning "to capture, catch, lay hold of, 'nab'," as northern dialectal and slang. Its etymological note reads as follows:

Perhaps a broad pronunciation of CAP *verb*² [see just below] (Old French *caper* to seize); in nearly all Northern English glossaries; and now of general diffusion in the slang of schoolboys, criminals, policemen, etc.

For CAP *verb*² (vol. 2, p. 88b), which is defined simply as meaning "to arrest", the etymological note states that it is apparently adopted from Old French *caper* 'seize, take', and the first quotation, dating to 1589, reads thus: "Cap him sira, if he pay it not." The noted British authority on slang, Eric Partridge, agrees with the etymology suggested by the *OED* and adds that *cap* to *cop* is a normal argotic change.¹³

My splendid old *Century Dictionary* (vol. 2, p. 801b) has an excellent entry on the Scots verb cited in the *Random House Dictionary* etymology. It begins by giving the pronunciation *kap* and then offering the following etymology: < Dutch *kapen* (= Swedish *kapa*) 'seize, catch, make prize of, as a privateer or pirate (> Dutch *kaap* 'privateering'); apparently < Latin *capere* 'take, seize, capture': cf. "capable", "captive", "capture", and so forth. This etymology is supported by reference to "caper" (a light-armed vessel of the seventeenth century, used by the Dutch for privateering): = German *kaper* = French *capre*, < Dutch *kaper* (= Danish *kaper* = Swedish *kapare*), a privateer < *kapen* = Swedish *kapa* (cf. German *kapern* = Danish *kapre*, from the noun), 'take, seize, make a prize of at sea'

and another Scotch word, "capper" (seize; lay hold of violently; specifically, to seize [a vessel] as a prize),¹⁴ etc. There are two definitions given by the *Century Dictionary* for the Scots verb "cap". The first is "to arrest", for which Francis Beaumont and John Fletcher's *The Knight of the Burning Pestle* is quoted twice:

Twelve shillings you must pay, or I must cap you. (iii.2)

Ralph has friends that will not suffer him to be capt for ten times so much. (iii.2)

Beaumont and Fletcher wrote *The Knight of the Burning Pestle* in 1607. The second definition for the verb "cap" in question is "to seize; lay hold of violently; specifically to seize (a vessel) as a prize; hence, to entrap or insnare." The entry closes by identifying the verb as Scotch and provincial English.

I cannot find evidence for the existence of the Scots word *caper* until 1666 when it occurs at least three or four times, so it was most likely borrowed from Dutch around the middle of the seventeenth century. *A Dictionary of the Older Scottish Tongue*, noting that it is also spelled *keaper*, defines the word as meaning "a privateer" or "a captor (in privateering)". The suggested etymology is from Dutch and Flemish *kaper*.¹⁵ After that time, however, its use becomes quite common and is no longer restricted to privateering. From the mid-nineteenth century, *cap* is often encountered with the following meanings: "to seize by violence, to lay hold of what is not one's own; a word much used by children at play"; "to take possession of anything used in play out of season"; "to stop the progress of something that is in motion; to arrest; to prevent". It is possible that some of these usages derive not from the Dutch-inspired *caper / keaper* but are more closely cognate with English "cap" = "to arrest". If so, they would have had to persist solely in the spoken language (which, considering their colloquial nature, is quite possible), since the latter usage became obsolete in English from 1611.¹⁶ Simply because a word is not well documented in the written record does not obviate the necessity of making earnest etymological inquiries concerning its origin.

Among the scores of derivatives from IE *kap* that he cites, Shipley brings to our attention *capias*, a Latin imperative meaning "arrest him!"¹⁷ This he connects with the slang word "copper" and its abbreviated form "cop". Since it is the duty of the "cop" (the policeman) to arrest a miscreant, it certainly would not be surprising if there were a connection between the order of the judge to make an arrest and the officer charged with making the arrest. Here is Fennell's entry for *capias*:

capias, 2nd person singular present subjunctive active of the Latin verb *capere*, = 'to seize': literally 'thou mayest seize', name of several writs authorising the sheriff to arrest or seize. *Capias ad respondendum*, a writ before judgement to take the defendant and make him answer the plaintiff; *capias ad satisfaciendum*, or *ca. sa.*, a writ of execution of judgment for recovery in a personal action on a person who is to be taken and kept in prison until he give satisfaction; *capias pro fine*, a writ lying against a person who does not discharge a fine due to the Crown; *capias utlagatum*, a writ lying against an outlaw upon any action; *capias in withernam*, a writ lying against beasts under distraint which have been driven out of the county, or concealed.¹⁸

Seventeen citations dating from 1463-4 to 1842 follow, of which I quote only the first: "Also Whele sends you a *capias ut legat* against Harlare." (*Plumpton Correspondence*, p. 9 [Camden Society, 1839].) Practically speaking, a writ for *capias* amounts to an arrest warrant.

Regardless of the specific route that they follow, nearly all authorities now trace the English slang verb "cop" back to Latin *capere* 'seize' and thence to the IE root *kap* 'grasp'.¹⁹

An extremely interesting alternative (but not entirely unrelated) etymology for "cop" has been proposed by Farmer and Henley:

COP has been associated with the root of the Latin *cap-io*, to seize, to snatch; also with the Gypsy *kap* or *cop* = to take; Scotch *kep*; and Gallic *ceapan*. Probably, however, its true radix is to be found in the Hebrew *cop* = a hand or palm. Low-class Jews employ the term, and understand it to refer to the act of snatching.²⁰

As we shall see later in our investigation, the reference to Hebrew may well not be entirely fortuitous.

According to *The Barnhart Dictionary of Etymology*,²¹ "cop" is a slang word meaning "capture, catch, nab, steal" and may be a variant of obsolete *cap* 'to arrest' (1589). The latter, in turn, was borrowed from Middle French *caper* 'seize', perhaps from Sicilian *capere*, from Latin *capere* 'take, hold, seize'; cognate with Greek *káptein* 'gulp down',

Albanian *kap* 'I grasp, seize', Low German *happen* 'swallow', Gothic *hafjan* 'raise, lift', and Old English *hebban* 'heave'. "Heave" itself, meaning "to lift with force or effort", was probably already in use before 1200 in the form *heven*, which developed from Old English *hebban* (about 725, in *Beowulf*), and is cognate with Old Saxon *hebbian* 'raise, lift', Dutch *heffen*, Old High German *heffen* (modern German *heben*), Old Icelandic *hefja*, and Gothic *hafjan*. All of these words are derived from Proto-Germanic **hafjanan*, from the base **haf-* (when originally accented) and **haþ-* (when originally unaccented) 'take, take hold of' (as found in "heft"). Outside of Germanic, additional cognates are Greek *kōpē* 'handle', possibly Middle Irish *cachtaim* 'I take prisoner', Old Irish *cacht* 'female slave', Welsh *caeth* 'captive, slave' (cf. English "caitiff" [Middle English *caitif* 'prisoner, captive, wretch', from Old North French, from Latin *captīvus* 'captive', from *captus*, past participle of *capere*, for which see above]), and in Latvian *kāmp* 'seize', from IE **kəp-*, root **kēp-* / *kōp-* (Pokorny 527).

Devoto, *Origini Indeeuropee*, p. 482, no. 535b is KAP (Pokorny 527), under which are listed words such as Old German *haben*, Gothic *hafjan*, Lettish *kāmpju*, Latin *capio*, Greek *κῶπη* and Albanian *kam*; no. 535a is GHABH (Pokorny 406 f.), under which are listed Irish *gaibid*, Old German *kepi*, Gothic *gabei* "riches" (perhaps deriving from the notion of "acquisitions", that is, "things which are taken"), Latin *habeo*, and Umbrian *hab-*. Devoto gives both of these roots the basic meaning of "take". Since their phonemic constructions (velar / a / labial) are similar -- although the consonants of the latter are voiced and aspirated while those of the former are not -- and their meanings are very close, it is likely that they both derive from some prior common root. Yet that prior root must have split within Indo-European early enough for Italic and Germanic to have two separate etymological strains derived from it.

The derivation of "captive" from *kap-* was already recognized by Skeat before 1880 when he gives \sqrt{QAP} 'to hold' as its Indogermanic root. Skeat was very conservative and cautious in his identification of roots: "This has only been attempted, for the most part, in cases where the subject scarcely admits of a doubt; it being unadvisable to hazard too many guesses, in the present state of our knowledge.... I have here most often referred to Brugmann, Uhlenbeck, Prellwitz, or Kluge."

Many words for "captive, prisoner" gathered by Buck, *Selected Synonyms*, 20.47 (pp. 1414-1415) are clearly derived from the IE root *kap-*: Latin *captīvus* (> Old French *chetif*, now only in secondary sense and as 'captive' replaced by *captif* > Rumanian *captiv*; Spanish *cautivo*), derivative of *captus*, participle of *capere* 'take'; Old Norse / Icelandic *haptr*, Old English *hæftling*, Old High German and Middle High German *haft* (also

adjective 'taken, seized', New High German *-haft*), beside Gothic *haftis* 'taken, joined', participle of root seen in Gothic *hafjan* 'raise', Latin *capere* 'take', etc. Middle English and New English *captive* come from the French word. At a more basic level, Latin *capere* 'seize, take', Gothic *hafjan*, Old Norse *hafa*, etc. 'have' show up under Buck's 11.13 TAKE, as do Irish *gaibim* 'take, seize', New Irish *gabhaim* but mostly replaced by the compound *tōgaim* (for *tōgbhaim*, Middle Irish *tōcbaim* 'lift', from **to-od-gab-*): Latin *habēre* 'have', etc., and under 11.11.3,5 HAVE we find a host of cognate words: Latin *habēre* (> Italian *avere*, French *avoir*, Rumanian *avea*) earlier 'hold', whence 'occupy, possess' and finally 'have': Umbrian *habitu*, *habetu* 'habeto', but the older sense in *haburent* 'ceperint', etc., Irish *gaibim* 'take, seize', Welsh *gafaelu* 'hold, grasp', perhaps Lithuanian *gabenti* 'carry off, transport', all of which derive from IE **ghab(h)-* (see my remarks concerning the probable relatedness of **kap-* and **ghab(h)-* in the paragraph citing Devoto just above), and Gothic *haban*, Old Norse *hafa*, Danish *have*, Swedish *hava*, Old English *habban*, Middle English *have*, New English *have*, Dutch *hebben*, Old High German *habēn*, Middle High German *haben*, New High German *haben*, general Germanic: Latin *capere* 'seize, take', Gothic *hafjan*, Old Norse *hefja*, etc. 'lift', Lettic *kampt* 'seize, grasp', all of which derive from IE **kap-*. Many of these Germanic words meaning "have" are also listed under Buck's 11.15.4 HOLD. Under Buck's 11.14 SEIZE, GRASP, TAKE HOLD OF we find Irish *gaibim* 'take, seize' (already mentioned under 11.11), Welsh *gafaelu*, from *gafael* 'hold, grasp, grip' = Irish *gabāl*, verbal noun of *gaibim* (see above), and Gothic *greipan*, Old Norse *grīpa*, Danish *gribe*, Swedish *gripa*, Old English *grīpan*, Middle English *gripe*, Dutch *grijpen*, Old High German *grīfan*, Middle High German *grīfen*, New High German (*er*)*greifen*: Lithuanian *griebti* 'seize, grasp at', Lettic *gribēt* 'wish' (from 'grasp at'), all of the latter group deriving from IE **ghreib-*. Buck does not mention the English word "grip" in this connection, but it too is derived from IE **ghreib-*. Nor does he mention "grab", which is derived from IE **ghrebh-*. In my view, since **ghreib-* and **ghrebh-* have a similar phonological configuration to that of **kap-* and **ghabh-*, as well as a related semantic field, they too must have derived from the same prior root, although **ghreib-* and **ghrebh-* are obviously more closely linked to **ghabh-* than they are to **kap-* (the insertion of the *-r-* to form an initial consonant cluster allowing for expansion and greater delineation of the fundamental meaning of **ghabh-* / *ghebh-* 'give, receive', the latter meaning being the operative one in this case).²²

For **ghabh-* / *ghebh-* 'give, receive [> take]', see Watkins, *Roots*, p. 20b, which lists numerous Germanic and Romance forms and derivatives resulting in the following English words: GIVE, FORGIVE, GIFT, GAVEL, ABLE, BINNACLE, HABILE, HABIT,

HABITABLE, HABITANT, HABITAT, COHABIT, EXHIBIT, INHABIT, INHIBIT, PREBEND, PROHIBIT, PROVENDER, DEBENTURE, DEBIT, DEBT, DEVOIR, DUE, DUTY, ENDEAVOR. For **ghrebh-*, Watkins lists Sanskrit (also now English) SATYĀGRAHA 'holding firmly to the truth' (the policy of nonviolent resistance advocated by Mahatma Gandhi), GRASP, and GRAB. For **ghreib-*, Watkins lists GRIPE, GRIPPE, and GROPE. And for the far more productive **kap-*, Watkins lists HEDDLE '[device which] grasps [the thread]', HAFT, HAVE, HEAVY, HAVEN, HAWK, HEAVE, CABLE, CAPABLE, CAPACIOUS, CAPIAS, CAPSTAN, CAPTION, CAPTIOUS, CAPTIVATE, CAPTIVE, CAPTOR, CAPTURE, CATCH, CHASE, ACCEPT, ANTICIPATE, CONCEIVE, DECEIVE, EXCEPT, INCEPTION, INCIPIENT, INTERCEPT, INTUSSUSCEPTION, MUNICIPAL, NUNCUPATIVE, OCCUPY, PARTICIPATE, PERCEIVE, PRECEPT, RECEIVE, RECOVER, RECUPERATE, R_X, SUSCEPTIBLE, CAISSON, CAPSICUM, CAPSID, CAPSULE, CASE, CHASE, CHASSIS, CHESS, ENCHASE, BEHOOF, BEHOOVE, and COPEPOD. These illuminating collections of cognate forms and derivatives are also to be found in the appendix of "Indo-European Roots" at the back of the various editions of *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*. **Certainly, there is no simple phonosymbolic explanation that can account for the enormous semantic and phonological complexities of these cognate roots and their multitude of finely nuanced derivatives.**

It can be shown that all of the languages in which there is a word pronounced something like *kap* and meaning "take, seize" are related. The first to demonstrate rigorously and extensively the existence of a super-family of languages extending beyond Indo-European to include languages from the Semito-Hamitic (Afro-Asiatic), Kartvelian (South Caucasian), Uralic, Dravidian, and Altaic families was the brilliant young Russian linguist, V. M. Illich-Svit'ich. This macro-family is called Nostratic. Later Nostraticists would add Dene, Sinitic, and other languages. In my estimation, the case for adding Dene and Sinitic to Nostratic is weak; we have already seen that this is so for Sinitic in the present instance of *kap* 'take, seize'.

Sinitic has not been convincingly demonstrated to be a part of Nostratic. To be sure, there are numerous Indo-European (especially Iranian and Tocharian) words in Sinitic, but these have not been shown to be cognates due to a genetic relationship between Sinitic and IE. Rather, they appear to be borrowings which began from at least the Bronze Age and perhaps even from the Late Neolithic.²³ There is no persuasive archeological, historical, genetic, or anthropological evidence that Chinese and Indo-Europeans were ever part of a single demographic or cultural entity, which would have been necessary if their languages were supposedly organically related through a common ancestor. I do not deny

that Sinitic and Indo-European bear some sort of relationship to each other, but whatever organic linkage they possess would have been at a much earlier stage, probably during the Paleolithic before Caucasoid Nostratics and Mongoloid Pre-Proto-Sinitic speakers had diverged. Indeed, it has yet to be demonstrated by linguistic and other means that Sinitic or its immediate ancestor (the identity of which is still not known) emerged as a discrete linguistic entity before the second millennium BCE. Furthermore, whenever we find IE words in Sinitic (e.g., those for "wheat", "cow", "dog", "wheel", "magus", "grape", "honey", "Buddha", "tank", "tantalum", "watt", "volt", and so forth), they can all more efficiently and convincingly be demonstrated as loans that occurred during historic and late prehistoric times. Therefore, it is not surprising that there is no word derived from *kap* 'take, seize, grasp, capture' in Sinitic.

In his *Op'it Sravneniya Nostraticheskikh Yaz'ikov* (vol. 1, pp. 313-315), Illich-Svit'ich presented abundant and convincing evidence of a Nostratic root **ḱaba / ḱapʰa* 'grab' which manifested itself in each of the Nostratic daughter families and which surely must be the ultimate source of Latin *capere* and all of the other cognates cited above. Since Illich-Svit'ich's treatment is more densely documented and technically argued than is necessary for our present purposes, I shall cite a simplified listing of the same root from another, more recent source.

Bomhard's list of "Common Nostratic Roots" in his *Indo-European and the Nostratic Hypothesis* includes the following items under entry no. 242: PN **k[h]ap[h]- / *k[h]əp[h]-* "to take, to seize; hand"; > PIE **k[h]ap[h]-* "to take, to seize"; PAA **k[h]ap[h]- / *k[h]ə[h]-* "to take, to seize; hand"; PFU **kappə* - "to take, to seize, to grasp", **käppä* "hand, paw"; PD **kapp-* "to touch, to feel"; PA **kap-* "to grasp, to seize".²⁴ In his *Toward Proto-Nostratic*, Bomhard provides much more data on the Proto-Afro-Asiatic side in entry no. 135 of chapter 9 ("Comparison of Proto-Indo-European and Proto-Afroasiatic"): PAA **kəp-* / **kap-* "to take, seize; palm, hand": SEM.: PSem. **kapp-* --> Hebr. *kaḫ* "palm"; Akk. *kappu* "hand"; Ar. *kaff*, *kiffa*, *kaffa* "palm of the hand"; Ug. *kp* "palm, hand"; Ḥarsūsi *kef* "flat of the hand; claw, paw"; Syr. *kappā* "palm of the hand". EG.: *kp* "to seize; hollow of the hand or foot". CUSH.: PSC. **kīp-* or **kip-* "handle" --> Iraqw *kipay*; Ma'a *-kupurúya* "to snatch". PIE **kap-* "to take, seize": Lat. *capīō* "to take, seize"; OHG. *haft* "captivity".²⁵

In Turkic, *kap-* means 'to grasp, or seize'.²⁶ I consider Turkic to be a relatively young group of languages within Altaic, which is itself a somewhat problematic and relatively young family. Therefore, it is difficult to tell to what extent Nostratic cognates

such as *kap-* may actually be the result of massive borrowing during the formative stages of PA and Proto-Turkic.

The equivalent root of comparable words in modern Dravidian languages manifests itself as Tamil *kavar* 'seize, grasp, catch, steal, get control of, receive, experience, desire, have sexual connexion with', Kannaḍa *kavar* 'take away by force, seize, strip, plunder', Telegu *kamucu* 'hold, seize', and so forth.²⁷

After this brief investigation of IE *kap-*, its derivatives in the various daughter languages of the IE family, and its precursors in Nostratic, I shall now make an effort to accommodate the science of etymology with the mysticism of phonosymbolism.²⁸ To wit, when the Paleolithic predecessors of Nostratic who first decided that they needed a word meaning roughly "take / grasp / grab / seize", perhaps they were governed by phonosymbolic constraints that caused them to pick only a word sounding like *kap-* and nothing else. Maybe, at that stage, the first person to create a root that sounded something like ***k[h]əp[h]-* and meant approximately "take / grasp / grab / seize" was inspired by some ineluctable cosmic phonosymbolism which caused him to choose ***k[h]əp[h]-* and nothing else. I doubt this, however, since his ancestors undoubtedly had already come up with a word for "hand" that sounded something like ***kup^h-*. The minor genius who decided to make a useful derived verb out of the elemental body-part noun simply worked a slight phonological (and perchance also morphological) change upon the latter. In essence, then, when the first human being proclaimed "I take / grasp / grab / seize it", he / she was merely saying "I hand it" (noun -- verbalized noun --noun). (Actually, the first noun [the personal pronoun] was probably not necessary because the subject or agent may have been morphologically present in or implied by the verb.)

Given our realization of the origins of *kap-* 'take / grasp / grab / seize' in a prior word for "hand" sounding something like ***kup^h-*, we are obliged to investigate the derivation of the latter. As we shall also see below in our discussion of the Semitic cognates, the base of this root would appear to mean essentially "[that which is] bent". Much to our astonishment, we find that the English word "cup", which sounds very much like the Semitic words for "hand", goes back to an IE root *keu-* that, lo and behold, means "to bend / be rounded", whence "a round or hollow object". In Modern English, we still talk about the "cup" of the hand; the hand is that part of the body which we "cup". I suspect, therefore, that there exists a deeper layer of semantic and phonological affinity between *kap* 'take / grasp / grab / seize' and *keu-* 'bend / be rounded > round or hollow object' than meets the eye.

The affinity between *kap* 'take / grasp / grab / seize' and *keu-* 'bend / be rounded > round or hollow object' becomes more apparent when we examine the extended forms of the latter which are **keub-*, **keup-*. The zero-grade form of the latter is **kup-* 'vessel'. This shows up in suffixed form *kup-s-* as Greek *kupselē* 'chest, hollow vessel' (English "cypselā"), in long-vowel suffixed form **kūp-a* as Latin *cūpa* 'tub, vat' (cf. English "cupola", in expressive form **kupp-* as Late Latin *cuppa* 'drinking vessel' (English "cup"), and in many other nuanced manifestations. That "cup" is well grounded in Germanic is evident from the following: Old Frisian *kopp* 'cup, head'; Middle Low German *kopp* 'cup', Middle Dutch *coppe* (Modern Dutch *kopje* 'cup, head'), Old High German *kopf*, *chuph* 'cup' (Modern German *Kopf* 'head'). In the Romance languages, Late Latin *cuppa* was the source of Italian *coppa*, Provençal, Spanish, and Portuguese *copa*, and Old French *coupe*, all meaning "cup".

All of this recalls to us the interesting conjecture of Farmer and Henley that the true root of "cop" is the Hebrew word for "hand". Let us explore what possible support there may be for the assertion of such a remote connection.

The name of the eleventh letter of the Hebrew alphabet is *kaph*, meaning "the hollow of the hand". This letter is so called in allusion to its ancient form (see Fig. 3), which the Phoenicians and other Semites of Syria began to use as a graphic sign around 1000 BCE. The name *kaph* is related to *kāphāph* 'he bent'.²⁹ In Hebrew, *kaph* also has the following connotations: palm of the hand, hand; sole of the foot; pan, censer; handle; branch (of palm -- so called from its shape); spoon; crest over the female genitals (Post Biblical Hebrew); glove (Post Biblical Hebrew). The Hebrew word *kaph* is related to Aramaic-Syriac word *kapā'* 'hollow of the hand, pan, bowl, censer, scale of the balance', Ugaritic *kp* 'hollow of the hand, bowl', Ethiopian *kappu* 'hollow of the hand, scale of a balance', Syriac *kephētiā'* 'hollowed place, arch, vault'. All of these words derive from base *khphph* and literally mean 'that which is bent / curved'.³⁰

It is, of course, technically incorrect to state that the root of the English slang word "cop" is the Hebrew word *kaph* 'palm of the hand' as claimed by Farmer and Henley (see above), since Hebrew and English belong to different language families and hence are on separate lines of linguistic development. Nevertheless, the observation that there is some sort of connection between English "cop" and Hebrew *kaph* is a great insight and helps us to see beyond the Hebrew word to Proto-Semitic, thence to PAA, and thence back to a Nostratic stage where it is possible to speak of an etymological connection between the ultimate root of "cop" and *kaph*.

Thus we have followed the long and convoluted path of "cop" not only through Latin *capias* 'arrest' and *capere* 'take' back to IE **kap-* 'grasp' and Nostratic ***k[h]əp[h]-* 'take / grasp / grab / seize', we now find ourselves faced with the very real possibility of some more profound connection with a Pre-Nostratic (perhaps Proto-World?) root ****kəp* meaning "bend, curve(d)". We have arrived at this point strictly by applying the rules and laws of the science of etymology. Beyond this, however, we may begin to ask whether phon symbolism was operative in the choice of ****kəp* to convey the notion of "bend, curve(d)". I do not know. Perhaps Professor Rozycki can help us to answer this difficult question; I cannot. It is beyond me. It is in the realm of metaphysics and mysticism, at which I am very poor.

In terms of the emergence of civilization and the development of elemental human concepts, however, we may roughly sketch out the derivation of the English slang word "cop". Before human beings used vessels of any sort for drinking purposes, perhaps even before *Homo sapiens sapiens* (judging from observation of hominid behavior), they cupped their hands to bring water from a pond, stream, river, or lake to their lips. This we still do -- quite naturally -- when we go out into the wilderness ill-equipped. When humans began to acquire the ability to speak, one of them referred to the bending of the palm and fingers to form a hollow for containing the liquid with a word that sounded something like ******kəp*. They probably already had a general word for bending branches and other objects that sounded something like ******kə*. Later, they learned to use bottle gourds and other cucurbits for ladling and containing liquids (there are no native IE words for gourds and cucurbits since these plants are normally tropical or sub-tropical),³¹ then still later they discovered how to make vessels from clay and other materials. But they retained derivatives of the old word ******kəp* to designate hollow objects that can contain things, hence, to mention only a few cognates in IE languages, Old Icelandic *húfr* 'ship's hull', Latin *cūpa* 'tub, cask', Greek *kypellon* 'cup', Sanskrit *kupa-s* 'cave, hole'.³²

Once they had a word for designating "hollow of the hand", humans could use it to describe actions carried out with that part of the body, hence "grab" and "grasp". Subsequently, they could extend the usage of the derived verbs to specify various different kinds of grabbing and grasping, thus "capture". Finally, in accord with their playful propensities and fecund inventiveness, it was inevitable that they would create new nouns and slang terms based on the derived verbs, hence "caper" (a privateer or his vessel), "cap", and -- at long last -- "cop".

Let us return once more, however, to *kap*, which is the main focus of our investigation. If we wish to determine why many languages use a word that sounds like

kap to mean "take / grasp / grab / seize / capture", we are forced by the science of etymology and all of the rules of historical linguistics to trace that word back at least to the stage of Nostratic, which is already very remote and still poorly understood. In any event, when we reach back in time beyond the Neolithic daughter languages (PIE, PAA, PFU, PD, and perhaps PA) of Nostratic, we find that we cannot simply concern ourselves with ****k[h]əp[h]**- 'take / grasp / grab / seize' alone; we are compelled to explore the derivation of that root from a prior root meaning "hand", and, beyond that, we cannot escape the duty of determining the origins of the root for "bend[edness]" which resulted in the word for "hand". Thus, there seems to be almost an infinite regression from word to word and from root to root until we arrive at the moment when the first human being uttered a semi-intelligible word.³³ But here we are confronting the problem of the very invention of language, and when it comes to that, I have no idea why our remote ancestors chose the sounds they did to convey the various meanings they had in mind.

At this point in our deliberations, a host of even **BIGGER QUESTIONS** assails us. We must seriously ask, "What is human?" Were Neanderthals human? Are only *Homo sapiens sapiens* human? Did language begin only with Cro Magnons? Were there precursors to human language in the grunts, groans, and expostulations of the early hominids? Did the early hominids mimic the barks, calls, and cries of the wild animals among whom they lived? I cannot pretend to have even the beginning of an answer to any of these perplexing questions; they are far beyond my capacity and resources to discover. Consequently, I shall content myself with the more mundane task of figuring out etymologies and leave the mysterious paths of phonosymbolism for others to explore.

Be that as it may, I shall close, with the following observations: 1. Even if phonosymbolism is a valid linguistic tool for the elucidation of some words besides those which are obviously onomatopoeic (where I do believe that it is patently applicable), it can only have been so at the earliest stage of the invention of human language (a stage which is beyond our present ability to recover). 2. At best, phonosymbolism can be used to explain merely a tiny portion of the vast lexicons belonging to human languages. 3. Only the science of etymology can clarify the development of the subtle nuances differentiating the words which constitute the enormous lexicons of modern languages from ancient roots (i.e., *kap* alone cannot illuminate the vast proliferation of useful derivatives -- including the verb "cop" -- stemming from it; *kap* is only the beginning [within IE languages] of any search for the origins of its derivatives; to understand them fully, one must employ precise, elaborate concepts of morphology, phonology, affixation, and other analytical tools of linguistics). 4. If phonosymbolism were valid (whether universally or not), all human

beings would tend to speak the same language and would continuously reinvent the same language even in isolation from each other. Since this is not the case, the value of phon symbolism in linguistic inquiry would appear to be extremely limited.

ABBREVIATIONS

PN = Proto-Nostratic; PIE = Proto-Indo-European; PAA = Proto-Afro-Asiatic; PFU = Proto-Finno-Ugrian; PD = Proto-Dravidian; PA = Proto-Altaic;

NOTES

I am grateful to S. Robert Ramsey, William C. Hannas, Youngro Yoon Song, and Haewon Kim for helping me with the Korean words cited in this article and to Michael Carr for calling William Rozycki's article to my attention.

1. Mair, "Suen Wu-kung = Hanumat?"
2. Schuessler, *A Dictionary of Early Zhou Chinese*, pp. 285b-286a.
3. For Li and Schuessler, see Schuessler, *ibid.*, p. 285a; Chou, *Pronouncing Dictionary*, p. 57; Chang, "Old Chinese", p. 5.
4. Coblin, *Handlist*.
5. Martin, *Korean-English Dictionary*, p. 1397b-1398a.
6. Proctor, *Illustrated International Dictionary*, pp. 88 and 476.
7. "The Expressive Stratum in Modern Japanese", p. 74.
8. By far the most elaborate account of the verb "cop" and its development in the United States is to be found in Lighter, ed., *Random House Historical Dictionary of American Slang*, pp. 480b-482a. Lighter and his colleagues show little interest in the etymology of

the verb, however, other than to mention that it may be traced back to Dutch *kapen* 'to take' via Early Modern English *cap* in similar senses. The early history of the verb "cop" in Britain is covered extensively in Partridge, *A Dictionary of the Underworld*, p. 148b.

9. Spears, *Slang and Euphemism*, p. 84b. *The American Thesaurus of Slang* by Berrey and van den Bark (p. 967bc) lists dozens of additional expressions which I shall not bother to define individually: cop an out, cop a bag, cop a creep, cop a deuceways, cop a gander, cop a getaway, cop a heat, cop a lam, cop a leather, cop a lift, cop a listen, cop a look, cop a nod, cop a phinney, cop a sight, cop a sneak, cop a snooze, cop a Sunday, cop a win, cop the bacon, cop the bunting, cop the coin, cop the crown, cop the title, cop the curtain, cop the flag, cop the pennant, cop the laughs (laffs), cop the lead, cop the pot, cop the race, cop the sack, cop the works. Jonathon Green, in *The Slang Thesaurus* (p. 173b) offers a number of other expressions used primarily in Britain: cop a decko, cop a dose, cop a drop, cop a heel, cop a moke, cop a packet, cop a sight (of), cop a squat, cop an attitude, cop one's bird, cop one's joint, cop out (on), cop shop, cop (some) Zs, cop the lot. The combined lists of Green and Berrey & van den Bark, though lengthy, are not exhaustive, since I have also come across other expressions such as cop a fiver, cop a goose, cop a joint, cop a mope, cop a quim, cop a register, cop a slave, cop a walk, cop for, cop it hot, cop off, cop on, cop on the cross, cop-bumper, cop-out man, cop(-)simple, cop-shop, cop-sop, cop (someone's) drawers, cop that lot!, cop the borax, cop the brewery, cop the bullet, cop the coin, cop the drop, cop the edge, cop the needle, cop the tale, and copbusy in various sources.

10. Ayto and Simpson, *Oxford Dictionary of Modern Slang*, p. 42a.

11. *Ibid.*, *loc. cit.*

12. *Op. cit.*, unabridged, 2nd ed., p. 447b.

13. Partridge, *Slang*, p. 253e.

14. Kluge, *Etymologisches Wörterbuch*, p. 354a, informs us that *kapern* was borrowed into German from Dutch during the 17th century, so we cannot trace the word back any further in German.

15. Craigie, ed., *op. cit.*, vol. 1, p. 432b.
16. Grant and Murison, ed., *The Scottish National Dictionary*, vol. 2, p. 32b.
17. *Origins*, p. 157.
18. *Stanford Dictionary*, p. 200b.
19. E.g., Onions, *Oxford Dictionary of English Etymology*, p. 213b.
20. *Slang and Its Analogues*, vol. 2, p. 178b.
21. *Op. cit.*, pp. 219a, 143a, and 472a.
22. Shipley, *Origins*, p. 118.
23. Cf. Mair, "Language and Script: Biology, Archaeology, and (Pre)history" and "Old Sinitic **mʷag*, Old Persian *magus*, and English 'Magician'"; also Chang, "Indo-European Vocabulary in Old Chinese"; Østmoe, "A Germanic-Tai Linguistic Puzzle"; and Lubotsky, "Tocharian Loan Words in Old Chinese".
24. *Op. cit.*, p. 168.
25. *Op. cit.*, p. 233. For his references, Bomhard cites Buck 1949: §4.33, §11.14; Pokorny 1959: 527-28; and Walde-Pokorny 1973.I: 342-45. He states that he owes this etymology to Gary Rendsburg.
26. Clauson, *Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth-Century Turkish*, p. 580a.
27. Burrow and Emeneau, *Dravidian Etymological Dictionary* p. 123b-124a.
28. For the legitimate role of phon symbolism within etymology, see Malkiel, *Etymology*, pp. 13, 81, 88, 125-6, 146, 149, 169.
29. Klein, *Comprehensive Etymological Dictionary of the English Language*, p. 399c.

30. Klein, *Comprehensive Etymological Dictionary of the Hebrew Language*, p. 282a.

31. Mair, "Southern Bottle-Gourd (*hu-lu*) Myths."

32. *Barnhart Dictionary of Etymology*, p. 484a.

33. At the same time there was a linear progression of words from roots to derivatives and from earlier derivatives to subsequent derivatives, there was also a lateral expansion of related meanings from early roots. For example, there seems to be some sort of relationship between *kap* 'seize / grasp / grab' and the English word "keep" which means "hold on to, retain possession of, detain," etc. The latter word can be traced back to Middle English *kepen* and Old English *cēpan* 'seize, hold, guard'. Beyond this, the word "keep" is generally considered to be of obscure origin, but already it appears that there is some semantic and phonemic overlap with *kap* 'seize / grasp / grab'. *The Barnhart Dictionary of Etymology* (p. 562b) dates *kepen* to 1127 and defines it as "watch for, observe, retain, hold, take, keep; developed from Old English (about 1000) *cēpan* (from Proto-Germanic **kōpjanan*), possibly related to *cāpian* to look. Old English *cāpian* is cognate with Old Saxon *capen* in *upcapen* stand out, be visible, Middle Low German *kapen* to gape, Old High German *kapfēn* to look, and Old Icelandic *kōpa* to stare, gape, from Proto Germanic **kap- / kōp*, Indo-European **ǵab- / ǵāb-* watch, look out for (Pokorny 349)." Barnhart then goes on to quote and summarize Murray's long etymological note on "keep": "The word probably belonged primarily to the vulgar and nonliterary stratum of the language; but it comes up suddenly into literary use about 1000, and that in many senses, indicating considerable previous development.' Murray felt the original sense may have been 'to lay hold' in the literal sense and so extended figuratively to 'keep an eye on, watch,' citing its use to render Latin *observāre* to watch, take note of, and Latin *servare* to watch, observe. He states further that there is an underlying semantic relation between *keep* and *hold*, and further with *have* explaining that the same senses have alternated in use among these words." It seems to me that Murray, without explicitly saying so, has put his finger on a very important, underlying relatedness between "keep" and *kap*.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ayto, John and John Simpson. *The Oxford Dictionary of Modern Slang*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press, 1992.

Backhouse, A. E. "The Expressive Stratum in Modern Japanese." *Gengo Kenkyū* (*Journal of the Linguistic Society of Japan*), 83 (1983), 61-78.

Barnhart, Robert K., ed. *The Barnhart Dictionary of Etymology*. New York: H. W. Wilson, 1988.

Berrey, Lester V. and Melvin van den Bark. *The American Thesaurus of Slang*. Second edition. A complete reference book of colloquial speech. New York: Thomas Y. Crowell, 1942, 1947, 1952 (2nd ed.), 1953.

Bomhard, Allan R. *Indo-European and the Nostratic Hypothesis*. Charleston, South Carolina: Signum, 1996.

_____. *Toward Proto-Nostratic: A New Approach to the Comparison of Proto-Indo-European and Proto-Afroasiatic*. Foreword by Paul J. Hopper. Amsterdam Studies in the Theory and History of Linguistic Science. Series IV -- Current Issues in Linguistic Theory, Vol. 27. Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 1984.

Buck, Carl Darling. *A Dictionary of Selected Synonyms in the Principal Indo-European Languages: A Contribution to the History of Ideas*. Chicago & London: The University of Chicago Press, 1949.

Burrow, T. and M. B. Emeneau. *A Dravidian Etymological Dictionary*. Second Edition. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1984.

The Century Dictionary: An Encyclopedic Lexicon of the English Language. Prepared under the superintendence of William Dwight Whitney. New York: Century, 1889.

Victor H. Mair, "Phon symbolism or Etymology: the Case of the Verb 'Cop'"
Sino-Platonic Papers, 91 (January, 1999)

Chang, Tsung-tung. "Indo-European Vocabulary in Old Chinese: A New Thesis on the Emergence of Chinese Language and Civilization in the Late Neolithic Age." *Sino-Platonic Papers*, 7 (January, 1988), 56 pages.

Chou Fa-Kao, chief ed. *A Pronouncing Dictionary of Chinese Characters in Archaic & Ancient Chinese, Mandarin & Cantonese*. Hong Kong: The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 1973.

Clauson, Gerard. *An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth-Century Turkish*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1972.

Coblin, Weldon South. *A Sinologist's Handlist of Sino-Tibetan Lexical Comparisons*. Monumenta Serica Monograph Series, XVIII. Nettetal: Steyler, 1986.

Craigie, William A. *A Dictionary of the Older Scottish Tongue: From the Twelfth Century to the End of the Seventeenth*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press and London: Humphrey Milford, Oxford University Press, 1937 (?) and continuing.

Devoto, Giacomo. *Origini Indeeuropee*. Tabelle. "Origines": Studi e Materiali pubblicati a cura dell'Istituto Italiano di Preistoria e Protostoria. Florence: Sansoni, 1962.

Farmer, John S. and W. E. Henley, comp. and ed. *Slang and Its Analogues, Past and Present: A Dictionary, Historical and Comparative, of the Heterodox Speech of all Classes of Society for more than Three Hundred Years. With Synonyms in English, French, German, Italian, etc.* 7 vols. Printed for Subscribers Only by Thomas Poulter and Sons, Limited, 6, Arthur Street West, London Bridge, E.C. 1890-1904. Reprinted in one volume as *A Dictionary of Slang: An Alphabetical History of Colloquial, Unorthodox, Underground and Vulgar English*. Hertfordshire: Wordsworth, 1987.

Fennell, C. A. M. *The Stanford Dictionary of Anglicised Words and Phrases*. Cambridge: At the University Press, 1892; reprinted 1964.

Grant, William and David D. Murison, ed. *The Scottish National Dictionary. Designed Partly on Regional Lines and Partly on Historical Principles, and Containing All the*

Scottish Words Known to Be in Use or to Have Been in Use since c. 1700. Edingburgh: The Scottish National Dictionary Association, 1929-1976.

Green, Jonathon. *The Slang Thesaurus.* London: Elm Tree Books, 1986.

Illich-Svit'ich, V. M. *Op'it Sravneniya Nostraticheskikh Yaz'ikov.* 2 vols. Moscow: Nauka, 1971-76.

Karlgren, Bernhard. *Analytic Dictionary of Chinese and Sino-Japanese.* New York: Dover, 1974; unabridged and unaltered republication of the work originally published by the Librairie Orientaliste Paul Geuthner, Paris, 1923.

_____. *Grammata Serica Recensa.* Reprinted from the *Bulletin of the Museum of Far Eastern Antiquities*, 29 (Stockholm, 1957).

Klein, Ernest. *A Comprehensive Etymological Dictionary of the English Language.* Dealing with the origin of words and their sense development thus illustrating the history of civilization and culture. Unabridged, one-volume edition. Amsterdam, Oxford, New York: Elsevier Scientific, 1971.

_____. *A Comprehensive Etymological Dictionary of the Hebrew Language for Readers of English.* New York: Macmillan; London: Collier Macmillan, 1987.

Kluge, Friedrich. *Etymologisches Wörterbuch der deutschen Sprache.* 22. Auflage unter Mithilfe von Max Bürgisser und Bernd Gregor völlig neu bearbeitet von Elmar Seebold. Berlin, New York: Walter de Gruyter, 1989.

Lehmann, Winfred P. *A Gothic Etymological Dictionary.* Based on the third edition of *Vergleichendes Wörterbuch der Gotischen Sprache* by Sigmund Feist. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1986.

Lighter, J. E., ed. *Random House Historical Dictionary of American Slang.* New York: Random House, 1994 and continuing.

Victor H. Mair, "Phon symbolism or Etymology: the Case of the Verb 'Cop'"
Sino-Platonic Papers, 91 (January, 1999)

Lubotsky, Alexander. "Tocharian Loan Words in Old Chinese: Chariots, Chariot Gear, and Town Building." In Victor H. Mair, *The Bronze Age and Early Iron Age Peoples of Eastern Central Asia*. Journal of Indo-European Studies, 26. 2 vols. Washington and Philadelphia: Institute for the Study of Man and The University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology and the , 1998. Vol. 1, pp. 379-390.

Mair, Victor H. "Language and Script: Biology, Archaeology, and (Pre)history." *International Review of Chinese Linguistics*, 1.1 (1996), 31-41.

_____. "Old Sinitic *mʷag, Old Persian *maguš*, and English 'Magician'." *Early China*, 15 (1990), 27-47.

_____. "Southern Bottle-Gourd (*hu-lu*) Myths in China and Their Appropriation by Taoism." In *Chung-kuo shen-hua yü ch'uan-shuo hsüeh-shu yen-t'ao-hui (Proceedings of the Conference on Chinese Myth and Legend)*. Han-hsüeh yen-chiu chung-hsin ts'ung-k'an (Center for Chinese Studies Research Series), No. 5. Vol. 1 of 2. Taipei: Han-hsüeh yen-chiu chung-hsin, 1996. Pp. 185-228.

_____. "Suen Wu-kung = Hanumat? The Progress of a Scholarly Debate." *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Sinology*. Section on Literature. Taipei: Academia Sinica, 1989. Pp. 659-752. Republished in *The Indian Journal of Asian Studies*, 3.1-2 (1991), 1-104 (actually appeared in 1996).

Malkiel, Yakov. *Etymology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.

Murray, James A. H., et al. *The Oxford English Dictionary (OED)*. 13 vols. with Supplement and Bibliography. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1933.

Onions, C. T., ed. *The Oxford Dictionary of English Etymology*. Oxford: At the Clarendon Press, 1966; rpt. with corrections, 1983.

Østmo, Arne. "A Germanic-Tai Linguistic Puzzle." *Sino-Platonic Papers*, 64 (January, 1995), 81 pages.

Partridge, Eric. *A Dictionary of Slang and Unconventional English*. Colloquialisms and Catch-phrases, Solecisms and Catachreses, Nicknames and Vulgarisms. Paul Beale, ed. New York: Macmillan, 1961; 1984, 8th ed. with new material.

_____. *A Dictionary of the Underworld*. British & American. Being the Vocabularies of Crooks, Criminals, Racketeers, Beggars and Tramps, Convicts, the Commercial Underworld, the Drug Traffic, the White Slave Traffic, Spivs. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1949; 1968, 3rd ed. rev. and enlgd.

Pokorny, Julius. *Indogermanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*. I. Band. Bern and Munich: Francke, 1959.

Proctor, Claude O. *Illustrated International Dictionary*. Georgetown, Texas: Rotary Club of Georgetown, 1990.

Rozycki, William. "Phonosymbolism and the Verb *cop*." *Journal of English Linguistics*, 25.3 (September, 1997), 202-206.

Schuessler, Axel. *A Dictionary of Early Zhou Chinese*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1987.

Shipley, Joseph T. *The Origins of English Words: A Discursive Dictionary of Indo-European Roots*. Baltimore and London: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1984.

Spears, Richard A. *Slang and Euphemism: A dictionary of oaths, curses, insults, sexual slang and metaphor, racial slurs, drug talk, homosexual lingo, and related matters*. Middle Village, New York: Jonathan David, 1981.

Watkins, Calvert, ed. and rev. *The American Heritage Dictionary of Indo-European Roots*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1985.

Wieger, L. *Chinese Characters: Their Origin, Etymology, History, Classification and Signification. A Thorough Study from Chinese Documents*. L. Davrout, tr. New York: Paragon and Dover, 1965; unabridged and unaltered republication of the second edition,

published by the Catholic Mission Press in 1927; enlarged and revised according to the 4th French edition. The first English edition of *Chinese Characters* was published in 1915.

FIGURES



Fig. 1. Ancient forms of *jia* 'press from two sides, squeeze'.

- jia*1 夾 to press; to squeeze; double
*jia*2 袂 a lined coat
*jia*1 鉞 pincers; blacksmith's tongs; sword handle; sword;
two sides of sword; sword with blades at either end
(now normally pronounced *jia*2)
*jia*4 蝶 a butterfly (insect with wings on either side of its body)
*jia*4 桮 pincers, chopsticks; torture instruments; a box
*jia*4 眅 to twinkle (of eyes)*
*jia*4 夾 名 of a place in Henan (now normally pronounced *jia*2);
room(s) at side(s) of gate
*jia*4 荚 pods; husks
*jia*1 筴 pincers; to pinch
*xia*2 峽 a mountain pass
*xia*2 狹 narrow; strait
*xia*3 峽 a gorge; a defile
*sia*4 浹 moist; soaked; a circuit; a period
*xia*4 峽 a place in Hubei (literal meaning "gorge"; now usually pronounced *xia*2)
*xia*4 頰 cheek(s), jowl(s), jaw(s)
*xie*2 挾 to pinch; press; clasp under the arm; carry; cherish; protect
*xie*1 俠 a hero (normally explained as one who carries with him)

vitality / strength and / or righteousness that he employs to
aid / support / succor others; etc.). Now usually pronounced
xia2.

- jie1* 俠 brave; a hero
qie4 挾 pleased; cheerful
qie4 匣 a trunk; a chest; long and narrow box; portfolio (two halves
fold together to store objects placed within)
qie4 悵 pleased; satisfied
qie4 篋 a trunk; a satchel
yi4 瘞 to bury
yi4 廕 peace; respect; retired

*This sinograph is now considered to have the following pronunciations and meanings:

- jie2* lashes (on either side of the eye)
zha3 blink (bringing together the upper and lower eyelids)
she4 blink
jia2 take a glimpse of
ya4 cast playful / flirtatious glance

N.B.: Many of the characters in the main list have multiple pronunciations and meanings. To save space, I have only listed the variant pronunciations and meanings of a few of them.

Fig. 2. Instances of the sinograph used to write the word *jia* 'press from two sides, squeeze' being used as a phonophore in other graphs (except for the last two examples where it is said to be radical). The numerals following the transcriptions indicate the tones of Modern Standard Mandarin. The information presented in this figure is drawn primarily from Wieger, *Chinese Characters*, p. 454ab with a few additions from Karlgren, *Grammata Serica Recensa*, no. 630 and other sources.



Fig. 3. Early forms of the letter *kaph*.

Since June 2006, all new issues of *Sino-Platonic Papers* have been published electronically on the Web and are accessible to readers at no charge. Back issues are also being released periodically in e-editions, also free. For a complete catalog of *Sino-Platonic Papers*, with links to free issues, visit the *SPP* Web site.

www.sino-platonic.org